

OC
No.E.C.66-1/Conf/89- 85.

Dated,Shillong the 16th February,1988.

To


The Members of the
Ececutive Council,
North-Eastern Hill University,

Subject:- Agenda papers of the Sixty~~six~~^{sixth} meeting of the
Executive Council.

Sir/Madam,

I am forwarding herewith the Agenda papers
of the 66th Meeting of the Executive Council Scheduled
to be held on 24th February 1989 at 11 a.m, for favour
of your infomation and consideration.

Yours Faithfully,


(A. Patton)
Registrar.

Encl: a. a.

18/2/88

NORTH-EASTERN HILL UNIVERSITY
SHILLONG -793 001.

A G E N D A

SIXTY SIXTH MEETING
OF THE
EXECUTIVE COUNCIL

24th February, 1989, Shillong.

AGENDA PAPERS OF THE SIXTY-SIXTH MEETING OF THE EXECUTIVE COUNCIL

-----		Page No.
Contents		
Item No. 1	CONFIRMATION OF THE MINUTES OF THE SIXTY-FIFTH MEETING OF THE EXECUTIVE COUNCIL HELD ON 1ST FEBRUARY, 1989.	1
Item No. 5	ACADEMIC MATTERS :	
	5:1-Statutes, Ordinances, Regulations & Rules	
	(i) Amendment of Clause 11 of Ordinance OC-4 on Ph.D. Programme -	5:1:1:(1)
	(ii) Amendment of Clause 11:1 of Ordinance OC-5 on M.Phil Programme -	5:1:2:(1)
Item No.6	ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS :	
	6:1-Selection Committee	
	(i) Selection Committee	6:1:1:(1)
	6:2- Appointment/Creation-upgradation of posts/ Confirmation/Extension/Option/Transfer Release/ Termination.	
	(i) Proposal of Termination of Service of Shri M.C.Koza, Store Keeper, SASRD, Medziphema.	6:2:1:(1)
	(ii) Seniority List of Teachers-Under Merit Promotion Scheme and Open Selection.	6:2:2:(1)
	6:3- Leave/Deputation	
	(i) Deputation of Shri D.C.Pant, Registrar, North-Eastern Hill University, to I.G.N.O.U., Indira Gandhi National Open University, as Director of Evaluation.	6:3:1:(1)
	6:4- Discipline	
	(i) Disciplinary case against Prof.M.S. Padma, Head, Department of Education-	6:4:1:(1)
	(ii) Disciplinary case against Prof. (Mrs) H .Ila, Head, Department of Chemistry	6:4:2:(1)

Contd/.../-

Contents

Page No.

6:6 - Service Condition/Financial & Other Benefits

- (i) Medical Re-imbusement claim of Prof. A.C.Sinha, Head, Department of Sociology. 6:6:1:(1)

6:7 - Others

- (i) Creation of NEHU Ombudsman 6:7:1:(1)

Item No. 7 FINANCIAL MATTERS :

7:2 - Budget Estimate/Financial contributions to other organisations

- (i) 12th Annual Report for the year 1985-86 and the 13th Annual Report for the year 1986-87. 7:2:1:(1)

(i) CONFIRMATION OF THE MINUTES OF THE
SIXTY-FIFTH MEETING OF THE EXECUTIVE
COUNCIL HELD ON 1st FEBRUARY, 1989.

The Minutes of the 65th Meeting of the Executive Council held on 1st February, 1989 were circulated to the members for consideration and comments, if any. The Council may consider the confirm the minutes as circulated, since no comment has been received till the date of issue of the Agenda papers.

5:1

- (i) Amendment of Clause 11 of Ordinance OC-4 on Ph.D. Programme -

The Academic Council vide its Resolution No. AC:35:88:5:08 (iii) RESOLVED that a Supervisor should not act as an examiner where a candidate is a wife or a husband and that necessary amendment to the provision of the Ordinance may be made. Accordingly, a proposal for amendment of Clause 11 of Ordinance OC-4 on the Doctor of Philosophy Programme is put up for consideration of the Council.

.....

PROPOSED AMENDMENT OF CLAUSE 11 OF ORDINANCE OC-4(PH.D)

Existing Clause

11.The thesis submitted by the candidate for the Ph.D. Degree shall be examined by at least 3 examiners appointed by the Executive Council on the recommendation of the Academic Council. 2 of the examiners shall be those who are not the staff of the University. While the third examiner shall be the Supervisor.

Proposed amendment

Add the following proviso :
 "Provided that in case a candidate is a wife or husband of a Supervisor, the Supervisor shall not serve as an examiner. In such a case, the third examiner shall be appointed from the panel approved by the Executive Council."

Clause after amendment

11
 11.The thesis submitted by the candidate for the Ph.D. Degree shall be examined by at least 3 examiners appointed by the Executive Council on the recommendation of the Academic Council. Two of the examiners shall be those who are not the staff of the University. While the third examiner shall be the Supervisor.
 "Provided that in case a candidate is a wife or husband of a Supervisor, the Supervisor shall not serve as an examiner. In such a case, a third examiner shall be appointed from the panel approved by the Executive Council."

5:1:2(1)

- (ii) Amendment of Clause 11:1 of Ordinance OC-5
on M.Phil Programme -

The Academic Council vide its Resolution No. AC:35:88:
5:08 (i) RESOLVED that a Supervisor should not act as an
examiner where a candidate is a wife or a husband and that
necessary amendment of the Provision of the Ordinance may be
made. Accordingly, a proposal as at Annexure 'A' for amendment
of Clause 11:1 of Ordinance OC-5 is put up for consideration
of the Council.

.....

PROPOSED AMENDMENT OF CLAUSE 11:1 OF ORDINANCE OC-5 (M. PHIL)ANNEXURE - 'A'

Existing Clause

Proposed Amendment

Clause after amendment

11:1 The dissertation/project report shall be examined by 2 examiners, at least one of whom shall be a person not connected with the University to be appointed by the Executive Council on the recommendation of the Board of Research Studies.

Add to following proviso :
" Provided that a Supervisor who is a husband or wife of a candidate shall not be appointed as an Examiner ".

11.1 The dissertation/project report shall be examined by 2 examiners, at least one of whom shall be a person not connected with the University to be appointed by the Executive Council on the recommendation of the Board of Research Studies. Provided that a Supervisor who is a husband or wife of a candidate shall not be appointed as an Examiner".

Provided that a Supervisor who is a husband or wife of a candidate shall not be appointed as an Examiner.

6:1 - Selection Committee

(i) Selection Committee -

The local Selection Committee which met on 9.2.89 and the regular Selection Committees which met on 23.2.89 recommended names for appointment to the post of Lecturer, Academic Staff College and for the posts of Deputy Registrar, Kohima and Aizawl and the Principal Scientific Officer (USIC). The minutes of the Selection Committee will be tabled by the Chair.

.....

6:2 Appointment/Creation-upgradation of posts/Confirmation/
Extension/Option/Transfer Release/Termination.

- (i) Proposal of Termination of Services of Shri M.C. Koza, Store Keeper, SASRD, Medziphema.

Shri M C Koza, Store Keeper of SASRD, Medziphema was appointed in the University on 30.10.80. He remained absent from duty with effect from 1.11.85 without information or prior permission of the office. Till date, the absence of 3(three) years and above is not covered by any grant of leave. Despite several reminders to report to his duties, Shri M C Koza did not respond.

On 1st September, 87 a Show Cause Notice was served on Shri M C Koza who was directed to submit a written statement in his defence for dereliction of duty.

Shri M C Koza has submitted his petition (Appdx. A) in which he has pleaded that owing to communication gap, family problems and ill health, he was unable to inform the office of his absence in time.

The Pro Vice Chancellor, Kohima Campus has stated (Appdx. B) that the above explanation of Shri M C Koza, is incorrect as he has verified the location of his village and found that communication is accessible and as such absence of 3(three) years is a deliberate negligence of duty on the part of Shri M C Koza.

As per Statute of 28 of the NEHU Act, 1973 "an employee other than a teacher may be removed by the Authority which is competent to appoint the employee

- (a) if he is of unsound mind or is a deaf mute or suffers from contagious leprosy,
- (b) if he is undischarged insolvent,
- (c) if he has been convicted by a court of law and sentenced imprisonment,

(d) if he is otherwise guilty of misconduct.

Where the removal of such employee is for a reason other than that specified in sub clause (c) or sub clause (d) above, he shall be given 3(three) months notice in writing or paid 3(three) months salary in lieu of such notice".

In terms of the Conduct rules (CCS), over staying of leave amounts to misconduct and as such, if Shri M.C Koza is found guilty, then action under Statute 28 of the NEHU Act, 1973, as mentioned above is required to be initiated.

Further, there is a standing decision of the E.C. vide Resolution No.EC:24:81:28 that in absence of NEHU Service Rules, the University shall follow the Central Government Rules for its employees. Therefore, if action is to be initiated as per Central Civil Service Regulation, then under FR-17 A, an employee remaining absent un-authorisedly or deserting the post shall be deemed to cause an interruption or break in service.

Shri M C Koza, Store Keeper, subject to his conduct report would have been permanent employee but for the delay in finalisation of the policy decision concerning Seniority List of NEHU employees which is still awaited.

The matter is placed before the E.C. for its decision.

To

The Asstt. Registrar (Admn),
North Eastern Hill University,
Shillong.

Sub :- Show cause notice.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. F. 15-432/Estt/80-4544 dated 1st Sept. 1987 served to me as Show cause notice, I would like to beg your pardon and place before you the following facts in reply to the Show cause notice.

That sir, I was absented from my duties from 1.11.85 till date as I was suffering and I was bed ridden. I must admit that as a Govt. servant I should have inform about my absence but due to my inability on account of my illness I could not inform you about my absence. During the period from 1.11.85 I had to leave my earlier place i.e. 6th Mile P.O Sugarmill, Dimapur and was staying in my village which is in a remote locality with my parents under treatment of a local Doctor. The place where I was staying is completely cut off and postal communication and as such I have not received any information from your end so long. Now I have little recovered and the information about this Show cause notice have been informed by some of my friends.

I really feel and admit that I have not been able to inform the authority so long which was beyond my control due to my illness.

I hope the reason stated by me in the aforesaid paragraphs will satisfy you and if necessary I would furnish the medical certificate in support of my illness as and when it is required.

In view of the facts explained above I hope you will allow me to rejoin my duties as and when I am completely recovered from my illness.

Yours faithfully,

Dated 24 Sept. 87.

Sd/-

(M C Koza)

Khezhakenoma Village
Dist. Phök, Nagaland

....

6:2:1(4)

NORTH EASTERN HILL UNIVERSITY
Headquarters : Shillong

NAGALAND CAMPUS · KOHIMA - 797001

Pro Vice-Chancellor

NO.NCK/PM/F-7/86-1521 :: November 5, 1988

To

The Asstt.Registrar(Admn),
North Eastern Hill University,
Shillong - 793 001

Subject : Absence from duties of Shri M.C.Koza,
Store Keeper, SASRD, Medziphema.

Reference: Your No.F. 15-432/Estt/80(SASRD)/3903 dated
3.8.88, addressed to the Asstt.Registrar, SASRD.

Sir,

Shri M.C. Koza, Store Keeper at SASRD has
been absent from duties with effect from 1.11.85 without
any information. In his explanation, he mentioned that
he was suffering and that he could not inform the
authorities due to his staying in his village located
in a remote area.

I have verified the location of his village
and found that it is not that remote as indicated by him.
He could have easily informed the authority during the
period of his about three years absence if he has the
intention to do so. There was perhaps a deliberate neglect
on his part to do it.

In the light of the above and the long absence,
I feel that this is a fit case attracting service
termination. He was on temporary service the time he
remained absent from duty. I would, therefore, recommend
termination of his service.

Yours faithfully,

Sd/-
(Kirenwati)

Memo NO.NCK/PM/F-7/86 :: November 5, 1988

Copy to :-

1. The Dean, SASRD, Medziphema, This refers
to his letter No.SAMN/RF/C-8/87-3014
dated 27.9.88.

(Kirenwati)

Note for consideration of the Academic Council regarding Seniority List of Teachers - under Merit Promotion Scheme and Open Selection.

After the introduction of the Merit Promotion Scheme the University has not yet been able to prepare the final seniority list in respect of the teaching staff as there is no specific guidelines for determining the interse seniority of those promotees under the MPS with those appointed under open selection.

The problem has arisen because those selected under the MPS were given promotion w.e.f. the date of eligibility irrespective of the date on which the Selection Committee was held.

The matter was placed before the Executive Council in its 47th, 56th and 58th meeting, and the relevant minutes are attached at Annexure I.

On the representation of one of the teaching staff, the matter was again placed in the 62nd E.C. which had resolved that the rules under which such cases are decided in other Central Universities may be placed before the Academic Council.

The clarification of other Central Universities were requested and the replies have been received from the following:

1. Jawaharlal Nehru University.
2. Pondicherry University.
3. Aligarh Muslim University.
4. Visva Bharati.
5. University of Delhi.

The JNU determines the seniority from the date of appointment (joining) or promotion to the post. However, if the dates are the same in respect of two persons, such other factors as - the order of merit, age, are taken into account.

The Pondicherry University being a new University has not yet implemented the Merit Promotion Scheme.

The AMU has given the copies of 2 notifications - one, it appears is the procedure of determining the seniority of those appointed under Open Selection and the other under the Merit Promotion Scheme.

It appears that in Open Selection the seniority is determined from the date of commencement of the probationary appointment on the advice of the Selection Committee. It appears that the order of merit is not taken into account. This is also followed in NEHU as per the Statutes.

Further in the case of Merit Promotion, the date of issue of orders relating to such appointment is taken for the purpose of determining the seniority notwithstanding the fact that he has been given retrospective appointment from an earlier date. However, other benefits like payment of salary are given retrospective effect. This principle will be most practicable for our cases also. The Executive Council in its 56th meeting had also taken a similar resolution but this was again over ruled in its 58th meeting.

We could, perhaps take the date of issue of the orders relating to the merit promotion as followed in AMU or we may perhaps take the date on which the Executive Council approved the promotion for determination of the seniority while other benefits may be given with retrospective effect from the date of eligibility.

The *Vidya-Bharati* is following the effective date of promotion irrespective of the actual selection.

The University of Delhi has enclosed a copy of their Ordinance XI which appears irrelevant with the issue that we are facing.

The matter is placed before the Academic Council for consideration as resolved in the 62nd E.C.

47th EC/25/10/85

(i) Implementation of Merit Promotion Scheme -

EC:47:85:06:6(i): The Council RESOLVED to approve the principle that in accordance with the principle followed in the DPC a candidate for promotion on being assessed suitable for promotion shall be promoted with effect from the date of his eligibility irrespective of the date on which the S.C. was held, provided that if he was found unsuitable by an earlier S.C., the deemed date shall be the date on which he becomes eligible for reassessment under the provisions of the relevant ordinance or regulation. It was ~~and~~ further RESOLVED that a suitable provision may be made in the relevant Ordinances/Regulation for guidance.

56th EC/4/5/87

(iii) Seniority List of Professors, Readers, Lecturers -

EC:56:87:06:6(iii) The Council deliberated upon thoroughly on the matter and resolved as under :

- (i) The University may follow Central Govt. rules and accordingly should not count the service rendered on adhoc basis for the purpose of seniority in the grade or for eligibility for promotion to the next higher grade.
- (ii) The date of joining on the post should be taken for the purpose of seniority while other benefits should continue to be drawn from the date of eligibility. The Council, however, desired that the selection process for Merit Promotion Scheme should start at least 9 months before a person is due for promotion.
- (iii) The seniority of the teachers selected should be according to the statute 2(i) i.e. on the basis of length of continuous service in the grade.
- (iv) The date of confirmation shall be counted for the date of seniority. The person confirmed earlier shall rank senior to a person confirmed subsequently.

58th EC/7/9/87.

(vi) Seniority List of teachers-

EC:58:87:06:6(vi): The Council RESOLVED to approve the principle earlier enunciated by it vide resolution No.EC:47:86:06:6(i) which is re-produced below and desired that it be incorporated in the Ordinance governing seniority of teachers:

EC:47:85:06:6(i): The Council RESOLVED to approve the principle that in accordance with the principle followed in DPC a candidate for promotion on being assessed suitable for promotion shall be promoted with effect from the date of his eligibility irrespective of the date of which the S.C. was held, provided that if he was found unsuitable by an earlier Selection Committee the deemed date shall be the date on which he becomes eligible for re-assessment under the provision of the relevant ordinance or regulation. It was further resolved that a suitable provision may be made in the relevant Ordinance/Regulation for guidance.

.....

- i) Deputation of Shri D.C.Pant, Registrar, North-Eastern Hill University, to I.G.N.O.U., Indira Gandhi National Open University, as Director of Evaluation.

The request of Shri D.C.Pant, Registrar, for release to enable him to take up a new assignment as Director of Evaluation, I.G.N.O.U., with effect from 1st, March 1989 and the question of release of Shri Pant on deputation were considered in the 65th Meeting of the Executive Council held on the 1st, February, 1989 at New Delhi. In this connection, copies of the correspondence are placed before the Council for consideration. The letter of the Vice-Chancellor, I.G.N.O.U., dated the 9th, January, 1989 addressed to Prof.R.K.Mishra, Vice-Chancellor, NEHU, where I.G.N.O.U. intimated their desire to have Shri Pant on standard deputation terms for two years may be seen at Annexure 'A'. The letter dated 11th, January, 1989 of the Registrar, I.G.N.O.U. addressed to Shri D.C.Pant may be seen at Annexure 'B'. In these two letters, I.G.N.O.U. conveyed their desire to take Shri Pant on deputation for two years. The letter dated 7th, Jan, 1989 of the Vice-Chancellor, NEHU, addressed to Prof.G.Ram Reddy, Vice-Chancellor, I.G.N.O.U. is at Annexure 'C'.

The matter is placed for consideration of the Council.

6:3:1(2)

ANNEXURE - "A"

INDIRA GANDHI NATIONAL OPEN UNIVERSITY
K-76,HAUZ KHAS,NEW DELHI 110016

Prof.G.Ram Reddy
M.A.,M.Sc.(London)Ph.D.
Vice-Chancellor

F.No.VC/721/89
January 9, 1989.

Dear Prof.Mishra,

You will recall my letter No.VC/87/307-H.K. dated December 15, 1987 requesting you to suggest the name of person who can be considered for appointment as Director in Indira Gandhi National Open University. In response to my letter you had suggested the name of Shri D.C.Pant. Some time ago, the Selection Committee met and give its recommendations. Keeping in view the recommendation of the Selection Committee and our immediate needs, the University wishes to take Shri D.C.Pant as a Director of Evaluation but he will also be in-charge of Admissions until separate arrangement is made for them later. To begin with we wish to have him on Standard deputation terms for two years.

I shall be extremely grateful if you could kindly agree to spare the services of Shri D.C.Pant on deputation terms for a period of two years.

With kind regards,

Yours sincerely,

Sd/-

(G. RAM REDDY)

Dr.R.K.Mishra
Vice-Chancellor
North-Eastern Hill University
Lower Lachauiere
SHILLONG - 793 001.

6:3:1:(3)

ANNEXURE - "B"

INDIRA GANDHI NATIONAL OPEN UNIVERSITY
YMCA CULTURAL CENTRE, JAI SINGH ROAD,
NEW DELHI - 110001.

F.No.AD/2/NA/1105/Rg/166.

January 11, 1989.

Dear Shri Pant,

I am enclosing herewith a copy of letter No.VC/721/89 dated 9th, January, 1989 addressed to Dr.R.K.Mishra, V.C., NEHU from our V.C. regarding your appointment as Director of Evaluation in this University on standard deputation terms for two years for your information and necessary action.

With kind regards,

Yours sincerely,

Sd/-

(K.Narayanan)

Registrar

Encl : One

Shri D.C.Pant
Registrar
North-Eastern Hill University
Lower Lachumiere
SHILLONG - 793 001.



Dr. R. K. MISHRA
VICE-CHANCELLOR

Annexure - C
North-Eastern Hill University

Lower Lachauviere, Shillong-793001
Phone : 23222 Grams : NEHU

CONFIDENTIAL

7 January 1988

Dear Prof. Reddy

I respond to your letter no. VC/87/307-H.K. of December 15, 1987.


I think I have the right man for you. He is Mr. D.C.Pant, our Registrar (copy of biodata enclosed). He has both academic and administrative background in all branches of the University administration, (Finance, Examinations and as Registrar). In knowledge of administration and his habits, manners, general disposition, hardwork, discretion and discipline he cannot be faulted. He is a dependable colleague.

I will hate to let him go, but the problems of North-East are getting to be unmanageable. I hope you could consider his case and if you are able to accept him, then we can discuss mutually satisfactory date for change over.

With best regards, and greetings to

a very happy and successful 1988.

Yours sincerely,


(R.K.Mishra)

Prof. G.Ram Reddy
Vice-Chancellor,
Indira Gandhi National Open University,
YMCA Cultural Centre,
Jai Singh Road,
New Delhi 110 001.

6:4: Discipline.

(I) Disciplinary case against Prof. M.S. Padma, Head, Department of Education -

The Executive Council vide its Resolution No. EC:64:88: 5:08 (i) RESOLVED to call for an explanation from Prof. M.S. Padma for her failure to attend and interview the candidates for faculty positions. The Establishment Section accordingly issued a letter to her over the signature of the Registrar on 25th January, 1989. In response, Prof. Padma sent an interim reply dated 3.2.89 by a registered post as follows : "I will give a reply to this letter after verification of the correspondence in this regard soon after returning to Shillong."

The matter is placed for information and consideration of the Council.

(ii)

Disciplinary case against Prof. (Mrs.)
H. Ila, Head, Department of Chemistry -

The Executive Council vide its Resolution No. EC:64:88:5:08 (i) RESOLVED that a notice be issued to Prof. (Mrs.) H. Ila to show cause as to why strict disciplinary action should not be taken against her for violation of the University Academic Calendar by unilaterally closing the Department of Chemistry with effect from 17th November, 1988 though all other Departments continued to function till 17th December, 1988 and for her failure to return the applications of candidates for faculty positions sent to her for the purpose of screening. Accordingly a letter dated 25.1.89 was issued to her by the Establishment Section asking her to submit her explanation. The letter was received and opened by her brother Shri Pankaj Kumar, Joint Director, Wagon (I&L), Ministry of Railways as Prof. (Mrs.) H. Ila underwent an operation for removal of uterus and hernia on 5.2.89 and her condition was very serious. A copy of the letter of Shri Pankaj Kumar may be seen at Annexure 'A'.

The matter is placed for information and consideration of the Council.

.....

Registered

Telegram: 'RAILMANAK' LUCKNOW

Telephones | 50567 & 50017

Pankaj Kumar,
Jt. Dir. Wagon (I & L).

Government of India - Ministry of Railways
RESEARCH DESIGNS & STANDARDS ORGANISATION.

Our Reference D.O.No. JDW(I&L)/Per.

LUCKNOW-- 226011--Date -2-89

Dear Mr. Pant,

Ref:- Your letter dated 25.1.89 addressed to
Dr. (Mrs) H. Ila, Professor and Head
(Department of Chemistry).

.....

Your above referred letter was received by me on behalf of my sister, Dr. (Mrs) H. Ila. My sister at that time was undergoing pre-operation tests at the hospital in Lucknow since she was to undergo a major operation (regarding tumour in uterus) and her condition at that time was very serious. In view of this, I had no other option but to open the letter even though it was marked 'Confidential'. On opening the letter, I found that it was regarding her duty as the Head of the Department and contained matter that might disturb her during the pre-operation disposition. Dr. (Mrs) Ila underwent operation for the removal of uterus and hernia on 5.2.89.

I am, therefore, to inform you that she is unaware of the contents of the above referred letter and will be informed regarding the same only during the fourth week of her operation at the earliest.

With kind regards,

Yours sincerely,

Sd/-
(Pankaj Kumar)

Mr. D.C. Pant,
Registrar,
North Eastern Hill University,
Shillong - 793 001.

6:6: Services Condition/Financial & Other Benefits.

- (1) Medical Re-imburement claim of Prof. A.C. Sinha, Head, Department of Sociology.

Professor A.C. Sinha, Head Department of Sociology, his wife and son while travelling to his home town Patna by his own car met with an accident on the 19th of December 1986 at Barpeta (Assam).

After the accident, they were immediately admitted to the Barpeta Civil Hospital. Subsequently on the advice of the Doctor they were taken to Guwahati Medical College for further investigation and treatment. For the treatment of Barpeta Civil Hospital and Guwahati Medical College, an expenditure of Rs. 1,131,60p was incurred by him and the same was reimbursed to Dr. Sinha.

After the initial treatment at Guwahati Medical College, the wife of Dr. Sinha was later on admitted to G.G. Nursing Home, Patna for further treatment since she was still handicapped. The total expenditure incurred by Dr. Sinha for the treatment of his wife in the Nursing Home is Rs. 11,561.01p.

According to C.C.S. (Medical Attendance) Rules treatment in Private Nursing Home/Clinic is not admissible for reimbursement and accordingly the claim preferred by Dr. Sinha could not be entertained.

The matter was placed before the Executive Council held on 02.05.88 and the Council, vide its resolution No. EC:61:88:6:06:(iv) dated 2.5.88, resolved to authorise the Vice-Chancellor to get the matter examined and to sanction a maximum amount as may be admissible under the rules, as the case of the accident was genuinely a hard case. The case was examined by the Finance Department and it was found, as per rules, treatment in a Private Nursing Home is banned and as such, reimbursement was not admissible.

Contd/...

However, with reference to the Executive Council's resolution No. EC:61:88:6:06:(iv) dated 2.5.88, the file was endorsed to the Vice-Chancellor for his orders, but it was refused as payment is to be made as per rules, and Dr. Sinha was informed accordingly.

The Dean, School of Social Sciences had again represented the case of Professor A.C. Sinha for reimbursement as the case of the accident was genuine and hard case. The Vice-Chancellor has ordered to place the case to the Executive Council for its consideration.

The matter is, therefore, placed again for consideration of the Council.

After the initial treatment at Gwalior Medical College, the wife of Dr. Sinha was later on admitted to G.C. Nursing Home, Patna for further treatment since she was still handicapped. The total expenditure incurred by Dr. Sinha for the treatment of his wife in the Nursing Home is Rs. 1,13,600. According to G.C.S. (Medical Attendance) Rules treatment in Private Nursing Home/Clinic is reimbursable for reimbursement and accordingly the claim preferred by Dr. Sinha should not be considered as untenable. The matter was placed before the Executive Council held on 02.05.88 and the Council vide its resolution No. EC:61:88:6:06:(iv) dated 2.5.88, resolved to authorize the Vice-Chancellor to get the matter examined and to sanction a maximum amount as may be admissible under the rules, as the case of the accident was genuinely a hard case. The case was examined by the Finance Department and it was found, as per rules, treatment in Private Nursing Home is banned and as such reimbursement was not admissible.

7:2:1(1)

7:2: Budget Estimate/Financial contributions
to other organisations.

(1) 12th Annual Report for the year 1985-86
and the 13th Annual Report for the year
1986-87.

The Annual Reports of the University are to be prepared under the direction of the Executive Council. The 12th Annual Report for the year ~~1985-86~~ and the 13th Annual Report for the year 1986-87 have been prepared. The two Annual Reports are submitted to the Executive Council for favour of consideration.