

A STUDY OF THE PERCEPTIONS AND ATTITUDES OF TEACHERS  
AND STUDENTS TOWARDS THE TEACHING AND LEARNING OF  
ENGLISH AS A SECOND LANGUAGE AT THE UNDERGRADUATE  
LEVEL IN THE COLLEGES OF SHILLONG

THESIS SUBMITTED  
FOR THE DEGREE OF

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY IN LINGUISTICS

Guide : Prof. Juanita War

Investigator : Mrs. Tarun Kumari Bamon

To



DEPARTMENT OF LINGUISTICS  
NORTH-EASTERN HILL UNIVERSITY  
UMSHING, MAWKYNROH  
SHILLONG  
2000

Blessed be the Lord my strength ... my goodness and my fortress, my  
high tower, and my deliverer: my shield and He in whom I trust

Psalm : 144 : 1 - 2

**NORTH-EASTERN HILL UNIVERSITY**  
**DEPARTMENT OF ENGLISH**

Mayurbhanj Complex, Nongthymmai, Shillong – 14

I *Tarun Kumari Bamon* hereby declare that the subject matter of this thesis is the record of work done by me, that the contents of this thesis did not form the basis of award of any previous degree to me or to the best of my knowledge to any body else, and that the thesis has not been submitted by me for any degree in any other Universities/Institute.

This is being submitted to the North-Eastern Hill University for the award of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Linguistics.

Dated: April 4, 2000.

*Tarun Kumari Bamon*  
(*Tarun Kumari Bamon*)  
Candidate

*J. War*  
(Prof. J. War)  
Professor  
Supervisor

*J. War*  
(Prof. J. War)  
Head of Department **Head**  
**Linguistics Department**  
**NEHU, Shillong-14**

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work would not have been possible without the active help and cooperation of many people. I am deeply grateful and acknowledge my indebtedness to the following:

- Prof. J. War, Head, Dept. of Linguistics, NEHU, who, through her able, expert supervision, sympathetic guidance, inspired the study and helped me to organize my ideas meaningfully.
- Dr. S. Syngoh, Dept. of Education, NEHU, who, but for her help and meticulous instructions, I would not have been able to complete a major chunk of the study.
- Dr. S. Bhattacharjee, Dept. of Education, Dr. E. Syiem, Dept. of English, Dr. R. Sachdeva, Dept. of Linguistics, Dr. R. C. Pandey, Deputy Librarian, CIEFL RC, Shillong, for their presence at the Pre submission Seminar. Their reactions and insights have contributed a valuable perspective to my study..
- Dr. Goyal, Dept. of Geography, for processing the data, his friendly advice and encouragement.
- Mr. MC Babu, Lecturer, Dept. of English, Lady Keane College, a friend and colleague, who sat through with me at the computer and through his expertise, patiently organized and shaped the final draft of my work.
- Prof. L. Pyngrope, Lecturer, Dept. of Physics, Lady Keane College, who helped me immensely, by giving access to certain valuable information.
- Mummy, my husband Alex, my children Phrangky, Larry, Barry and Ruth for their prayers, their patience, their sympathetic encouragement and inspiration.
- Late Prof. J. C. Mohanty, Prof. K.C. Baral, Director, CIEFL, and all my colleagues and friends at the institute for their help and advice.
- Sela, whose interest and imagination have been a source of support and inspiration.
- Par, Mrs. Poon, Kong Tariang, Burman, Prof. Sarkar, Bro. Miranda, Indrani, Tapasi, Christine, Rockyer, Angela, Lata, Mandakini, John Joy, Iadlang, Lurwin Panigrahi, who have given their time generously to help me get the needed information.
- The students and teachers who provided the data on which the study is based and without whose support this study may remain but an idea.

*T. Kumari Bamon*

T. Kumari Bamon

## CHAPTER DIVISIONS

### Chapter 1: Theoretical Background of the Study

#### Overview

- 1.1 Introduction
- 1.2 Background of the study
  - 1.2.1 The Study and its setting
  - 1.2.2 Introduction of Education in the Khasi Hills
  - 1.2.3 The Education System
  - 1.2.4 Role of English in Shillong
  - 1.2.5 The Present Status of the English Teaching Situation in the Colleges.
- 1.3 Attitudes
  - 1.3.1 Concept of Attitudes
  - 1.3.2 Definition of Attitudes
  - 1.3.3 Determinants of Attitudes
  - 1.3.4 Function of Attitudes
  - 1.3.5 Classification of Attitudes
  - 1.3.6 Conclusion

### Chapter 2: Theoretical Discussion and Review of the Related Literature on Affective

#### Variables

- 2.1 Introduction
- 2.2 Affective Variable and Second Language Learning
- 2.3 Models of SLA and the place of Attitudes
  - 2.3.1 The Acculturation Model

- 2.3.2 Jakobovits' 3-way Interaction Model
- 2.3.3 The Accommodation Model
- 2.3.4 Gardener's Theoretical Model and Social and Psychological aspects of SLA
- 2.3.5 Krashen's Monitor Model
- 2.4 Resume of Work on Attitudes and Language Learning
- 2.5 Affective Variables and Second Language Teaching
- 2.6 Models of Second Language Teaching
  - 2.6.1 Campbell's Model
  - 2.6.2 Spolsky's Model
  - 2.6.3 Ingram's Model of Language Teaching
  - 2.6.4 Mackey's Interaction Model
  - 2.6.5 Streven's Model of Language Learning and Language Teaching
  - 2.6.6 Runkel's Model
  - 2.6.7 Dunkin & Biddles' Model
- 2.6 Resume of work on Attitudes and Language Teaching

### Chapter 3: Method and Procedure

- 3.1 Introduction
- 3.2 Statement of the problem
- 3.3 Rationale of the study
- 3.4 Definition of terms used
- 3.5 Objectives
- 3.6 Structure of the thesis
- 3.7 Scope and Delimitation of the Study
- 3.8 The Null Hypothesis

- 3.8.1 Null Hypotheses for Category A (Students)
- 3.8.2 Null Hypotheses for Category B (Teachers)
- 3.8.3 Null Hypotheses for Category C (Students & Teachers)
- 3.9 The Population & the Sample
  - 3.9.1 The Sample
    - 3.9.1.1 Definition of the Student Population
    - 3.9.1.2 Description of the Student Sample
      - 3.9.1.2.1 Sample for the Administration of the Students  
Try Out Attitude Scale (STAS)
      - 3.9.1.2.2 Sample for the Administration of the Students  
Final Attitude Scale (SFAS)
    - 3.9.1.3 Definition of the Teacher Population
    - 3.9.1.4 Description of the Teacher Sample
      - 3.9.1.4.1 Sample for the Administration of the Teachers  
Try Out Attitude Scale (TTAS)
      - 3.9.1.4.2 Sample for the Administration of the Teachers  
Final Attitude Scale (TFAS)
- 3.10 Selection of tools used for the study
- 3.11 Measurement of Attitude
  - 3.11.1 Thurstone Scale
  - 3.11.2 Likert Scale
  - 3.11.3 Guttman Scale
  - 3.11.4 Semantic Differential
- 3.12 Problems of Attitude Measurement
- 3.13 Justification of the Scale Adopted for the Study]
- 3.14 Construction of the Attitude scale

- 3.14.1 Description of Students Try Out Attitude Scale
- 3.14.2 Description of Teachers Try Out Attitude Scale
- 3.15 Need for the experimental study
- 3.16 Scoring
- 3.17 Item Analysis
- 3.18 Selection of Items
  - 3.18.1 Selection of Items from TTAS
  - 3.18.2 Construction of TFAS
  - 3.18.3 Administration of TFAS
  - 3.18.4 Selection of Items from STAS
  - 3.18.5 Construction of SFAS
  - 3.18.6 Administration of SFAS
- 3.19 Statistical Techniques used
- 3.20 Conclusion

#### Chapter 4: Analysis of Teachers' Data and Interpretation of the Results

- 4.1 Introduction

##### Section I

- 4.2 Profile of the Teacher
  - 4.2.1 Sex
  - 4.2.2 Age
  - 4.2.3 Status
  - 4.2.4 Professional Training

##### Section II

- 4.3 Results of the Teachers Interview

### Section III

#### 4.4 Results of the Contact with English Scale for Teachers

### Section IV

#### 4.5 Analysis of the Frequency Distribution

##### 4.5.1 Description of the Frequency Distribution

##### 4.5.1.1 F. D. of the Five Attitude Objects

##### 4.5.1.2 F.D. on the Basis of Gender

##### 4.5.1.3 F.D. on the Basis of Professional Training

##### 4.5.1.4 F.D. on the Basis of Teaching Experience

##### 4.5.1.5 Conclusion

#### 4.6 Analysis of the Teachers Attitude Scale

##### 4.6.1 Characteristics of the Teachers Sample covered by the Attitude Scale

##### 4.6.2 Mean and S.D. of Total Attitude Scale

##### 4.6.3 Comparison of the Mean Attitude Score of Teachers toward Teaching English and its Five Components on the basis of Sex

##### 4.6.4 Comparison of the Mean Attitude Score of Teachers toward Teaching English and its Five Components on the basis of Teaching Experience

##### 4.6.5 Comparison of the Mean Attitude Score of Teachers toward Teaching English and its Five Components on the basis of Professional Qualification

##### 4.6.6 Discussion of the Findings of the Teachers Attitude Scale

##### 4.6.7 Conclusion

## Chapter 5: Analysis of Students' Data and Interpretation of the Results

### 5.1 Introduction

#### Section I

### 5.2 Profile of the Student

#### 5.2.1 Sex

#### 5.2.2 Age

#### 5.2.3 Type of College

#### 5.2.4 Stream of Study

#### Section II

### 5.3 Results of the Students Interview

#### Section III

### 5.4 Results of the Contact with English Scale for Students

#### Section IV

### 5.5 Analysis of the Frequency Distribution

#### 5.5.1 Description of the Frequency Distribution

##### 5.5.1.1 F.D. of the Five Attitude Objects

##### 5.5.1.2 F.D. on the Basis of Gender

##### 5.5.1.3 F.D. on the Basis of the Stream of Study

##### 5.5.1.4 Conclusion

### 5.6 Analysis of the Students Attitude Scale

#### 5.6.1 Characteristics of the Students Sample covered by the Attitude Scale

#### 5.6.2 Mean and S.D. of Total Attitude Scale

- 5.6.3 Comparison of the Mean Attitude Score of Students toward Teaching English and its Five Components on the basis of Sex
- 5.6.4 Comparison of the Mean Attitude Score of Arts and Science Students toward Teaching English and its Five Components
- 5.6.5 Comparison of the Mean Attitude Score of Arts and Commerce Students toward Teaching English and its Five Components
- 5.6.6 Comparison of the Mean Attitude Score of Science and Commerce Students toward Teaching English and its Five Components
- 5.6.7 Discussion of the Findings of the Students Attitude Scale
- 5.6.8 Conclusion

## Chapter 6: Comparative study of Teachers and Students' Attitude Scale

- 6.1 Introduction
- 6.2 Characteristics of the Teacher and Student Sample covered by the Attitude Scale
- 6.3 Comparison of the Attitude of Teachers and Students on the Teaching of English in general
- 6.4 Comparison of the Attitude of Teachers and Students towards the Purpose of Teaching English
- 6.5 Comparison of the Attitude of Teachers and Students towards the Course Materials used

- 6.6 Comparison of the Attitude of Teachers and Students towards the Method adopted to teach English
- 6.7 Comparison of the Attitude of Teachers and Students towards Examinations
- 6.8 Discussion of the Findings
- 6.9 Conclusion

## Chapter 7: Conclusion

- 7.1 Introduction
- 7.2 Background Characteristics of Students
- 7.3 Summary of the Findings
- 7.3.1 Summary of the Findings of the Students' Contact with English scale
- 7.3.2 Summary of the Findings of the Students' Interview
- 7.3.3 Summary of the Findings of the Students' Final Attitude Scale
- 7.4 Background Characteristics of Teachers
- 7.5 Summary of the Findings
- 7.5.1 Summary of the Findings of the Teachers' Contact with English scale
- 7.5.2 Summary of the Findings of the Teachers' Interview
- 7.5.3 Summary of the Findings of the Teachers' Final Attitude Scale
- 7.6 Contributions of the study.
- 7.7 Suggestions for further research.
- 7.8 Conclusion.

## Bibliography

## Appendix

## LIST OF TABLES.

TABLE No.	TITLE.
1.1.	Khasi Canvas.
3.1.1.	Description of the Sample for the STAS.
3.1.2.	Description of the Sample for the SFAS (Arts stream).
3.1.3.	Description of the sample for the SFAS (Science stream).
3.1.4.	Description of the sample for the SFAS ( Commerce stream).
3.1.5.	Distribution of the population and the sample.
3.2.1	Description of the Sample for the TTAS.
3.2.2.	Description of the sample for the TFAS.
3.3.3.	Distribution of the population and the sample.
3.4.	A summary of the Tools and Instruments used for the study.
3.5.1	Distribution of the statements of the STAS to the experts.
3.5.2.	Distribution of the statements of the TTAS to the experts.
3.5.3.	Description of the STAS.
3.5.4.	Description of the TTAS.
3.6.	High Score and Low Score, ID and CR of the TAS.
3.7.	List of the 50 items selected for the TFAS.
3.8.	Distribution of the items for the TFAS.
3.9.	High Score and Low Score ,ID and CR of the SAS.
3.10.	List of the 50 items selected for the SFAS.
3.11.	Distribution of the items for the SFAS.
4.1.1.	Profile of the teacher in terms of Sex.
4.1.2.	Profile of the teacher in terms of Age.
4.1.3.	Profile of the teacher in terms of marital status.
4.1.4.	Profile of the teacher in terms of professional qualification.
4.2.	Statements of the interview of the along with the responses.
4.3.	Results of the Contact with English Scale.
4.4.1.	Frequency distribution of the Total attitude score.
4.4.2.	FD. of scores on purpose .
4.4.3.	FD. of the scores on course materials.
4.4.4.	FD. of the scores on methods.
4.4.5.	FD of the scores on examinations.
4.4.6.	FD of the scores on teaching English as a profession.
4.4.7.	FD of the scores of female teachers
4.4.8	FD of the scores of male teachers.
4.4.9.	FD of the scores of Teachers with PT.
4.4.10.	FD of the scores of teachers without PT.
4.4.11.	FD of the scores of teachers with less than 6 years of teaching experience.
4.4.12.	FD of the scores of teachers of teachers with more than 6 years of teaching.
4.5.1	Mean Score and SD of the Total Attitude Scale.

- 4.6.1. Significance of difference between the means of male and female teachers towards the entire English teaching programme.
- 4.6.2. Purpose.
- 4.6.3. Course materials.
- 4.6.4. Methods.
- 4.6.5. Examinations.
- 4.6.6. Teaching English as a profession.
- 4.7.1. Significance of difference between the means of senior and junior teachers towards the ET programme.
- 4.7.2. Purpose Comparison.
- 4.7.3. Course materials.
- 4.7.4. Methods.
- 4.7.5. Examinations.
- 4.7.6. Profession.
- 4.8.1. Significance of the difference between the means of teachers with and without PT towards the ET programme.
- 4.8.2. Purpose.
- 4.8.3. Course materials.
- 4.8.4. Methods.
- 4.8.5. Examinations.
- 4.8.6. Profession.
- 5.1.1. Profile of the student in terms of Sex.
- 5.1.2. Profile of the student in terms of Age.
- 5.1.3. Type of college
- 5.1.4. Profile of the student in terms of stream of study..
- 5.2. Statements of the interview of the along with the responses.
- 5.3. Results of the Contact with English Scale.
- 5.3.1. Maximum and Minimum Contact
- 5.4.1. Frequency distribution of the Total attitude score.
- 5.4.2. FD. of scores on purpose .
- 5.4.3. FD. of the scores on course materials.
- 5.4.4. FD. of the scores on methods.
- 5.4.5. FD of the scores on examinations.
- 5.4.6. FD of the scores on the English teacher.
- 5.4.7. FD of the scores of female students.
- 5.4.8. FD of the scores of male students..
- 5.4.9. FD of the scores of Arts students.
- 5.4.10. FD of the scores of Commerce students.
- 5.4.11. FD of the scores of Science students.
- 5.5.1. Mean and SD of the Total Attitude Scale.
- 5.6.1. Significance of difference between the means of male and female students towards the entire English teaching programme.
- 5.6.2. Purpose.
- 5.6.3. Course materials.
- 5.6.4. Methods.
- 5.6.5. Examinations.

