

(v) L.T.C. claim of the University employees.

Smti. Martha Gurung, Cleaner in her letter dated 22.10.99 has requested the Univ. Authority to consider condonation of late submission of Final LTC bill as she could not submit the bill in time because she was on Medical Leave due to fracture of her left hand, it took her much time to recovered and hence the delay in submission.

Smti Champa Chettri, Cook in her letter dated 26.10.99 has requested for condonation of late submission of Final LTC bill as she could not submit the bill in time because the vouchers/ relevant papers were misplaced by her at the time of shifting her Quarter, so it took her much time to locate the same and hence the delay in submission.

It may be stated that as per C.C.S(LTC) Rules 15(VI) stipulates that where an advance has been drawn by the Govt. Servant, the claim for re-imbusement of expenditure incurred on the journey shall be submitted within one month of the completion of the return journey. On the Govt., servant's failure to do so he shall be required to refund the entire amount of advance forthwith in one lumpsum. No request for recovery of an advance in instalments shall be entertained. As for the power to relax such claims, Rule 18 provides " Save as otherwise provided in these rules, where any Ministry or Deptt. of the Govt. is satisfied that the operation of any of these rules causes un-due hardship to any particular case that Ministry or Deptt. as the case may be, may by order for reasons to be recorded in writing dispense with or relax the requirement of that rule to such an extent and subjected to such exceptions and conditions and it may be considered necessary for dealing with the case in a just and equitable manner provided that no such order shall be made except with the concurrence of the Deptt. of Personal and Training."

Contd/pg...2.....

Further EC Resolution No:91:96:6:6:(Viii) resolved
" that the condonation will be given only once to an employee
during his/her service career at NEHU and the Finance Department
will maintain a register of employees who have availed of this
condonation. The same would apply to LTC claims also."

In this connection it may be informed that the
2(two) officials are being the first time defaulter in this regard.

In view of the above circumstances mentioned above
the matter is placed before the EC for consideration.

NO:FA.4-57/LTC-FIN/96-97/2453

6:6:9(1)

6:6: Service conditions/Financial and other Benefits.

(ix) TIME BARRED TA/DA
CLAIMS OF THE BSIC OFFICIALS.

The officials listed at Annexure 'A' who had drawn TA/DA advances submitted the final claims after a lapse of more than one year. The Finance Department could not entertained the bills ~~with~~ of the official concerned as they failed to prefer the claims within a period of one year. Reminders were served to the concerned officials directing them to refund the advance so drawn failing which the same will be recovered from their salary bills. Their response, attributing to the delay are appended against their names, at Annexure 'A'.

According to Govt. of India orders No.F.5(16)
-E IV (B)67 dated 18th October, 1967 :-

(2) Disposal of belated claims :- If the T.A claim is not preferred by the administrative authority concerned for payment within one year from the date of its becoming due, it shall not be paid unless the reasons for delay are investigated in details by the authority competent to sanction and if the investigations shows that the claim could not be preferred in time due to administrative delay without adequate and cogent reasons, suitable action may be taken against the officer(s) concerned so that delay do not recur in future.

The reasons attributed by the officials are placed before the Council for consideration of condoning the delay in submission of the time-barred T.A claims.

No.FA.4-41/TA-CELL/(PT)-108.

ANNEXURE - A

<u>Sl. No.</u>	<u>Name & Designation</u>	<u>Amount of Adv. drawn.</u>	<u>Date of Completion of Journey.</u>	<u>Date of Submission</u>	<u>Reasons for delay</u>
1.	Dr. Vanlalruate Rengsi, Lecturer, Deptt. of History.	Rs. 3500/-	3.2.1998	15.3.2000	Dr. Vanlalruate Rengsi alongwith his attendant preferred the journey to Bombay and Goa for study tour of the Department. In his letter dated 10th March 2000 he had stated that the TA/DA bills were submitted in the Department for onward transmission to Finance Department. The TA bills seem to have been lost as he did not submit it personally. The records for the same could not be traced out consequent upon ^{due} shifting of the Department to Permanent Campus only after getting a communication from Finance Deptt. The final claim were re-submitted with a request for regularisation of the TA advance drawn.
2.	Shri Wanpli Hajaw, Attendant, History Deptt.	Rs. 2000/-	3.2.1998	15.3.2000	
3.	Shri J.N. Areng, L.D.C. Publication Cell.	Rs. 225/-	15.12.95	2.3.2000	However, Shri J.N. Areng and Shri J. Rai have not given the reasons for late submission of their T.A bills.
4.	Shri J. Rai, Peon, Publication Cell.	Rs. 225/-	15.12.95	2.3.2000	

In the given circumstances and since the advance drawn has been utilised for the purpose for which it has been sanctioned, the Council may consider condonation of late submission of the final TA claims of the first time defaulting officials.

(iv) Promotional avenues in respect of Medical Officers/
Sr. Medical Officers to Chief Medical Officer.

EC:106:2000:6:6: (iv): The Council considered the promotional avenues for the Medical Officers as intimated by the Ministry of Health and FW vide letter No.Z1 6023/11/96-CHS.V of 27th October, 98 and RESOLVED to implement the same.

(v) & (ix) LTC / TA/DA Claim of the University employees

EC:106:2000:6:6: (v) & (ix): The Council considered the late submission of LTC/TA/DA advance adjustments of the following staff and RESOLVED to condone the same on condition that they are first time defaulters.

1. Smti. Champa Chettri - Cook
2. Smti. Martha Gurung - Cleaner
3. Dr. V. Rengsi - Lecturer in History
4. Shri W. Majaw - Attendant, Department of History.
5. Shri JN Areng, LDC- Publication Cell.
6. Shri J. Rai, Peon, Publication Cell.

The Council, further RESOLVED that a Circular be issued by the Finance Department that no such condonation be allowed in future.

(vi) Review of the EC's decision in the matter of seniority
of Smti. R. Kharbhih.

EC:106:2000:6:6: (vi): The Council considered the case regarding the claim of seniority of Smti. R. Kharbhih, UDC, and RESOLVED to refer the matter to the Legal Adviser for his comments.

(vii) Revision of Pay Scales

EC:106:2000: 6:6: (vii): The Council considered the bifurcation of the Revised Pay Scales in respect of Technicians and RESOLVED that the matter be referred to the Committee appointed to look into the placement of ARs & DRs in the CAS.

(viii) Enhancement of Honorarium to Guest Lecturers.

EC:106:2000:6:6: (viii): The Council considered the enhancement of Honorarium to Guest Lecturers from Rs.150/- to Rs.250/- and RESOLVED to approve the same.