

RADIOBIOLOGICAL STUDIES ON *PINUS* WITH SPECIAL  
REFERENCE TO *PINUS KESIYA* ROYLE EX GORD.

S. R. KATIYAR

THESIS SUBMITTED IN FULFILMENT OF THE DEGREE OF  
DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY



DEPARTMENT OF BOTANY  
SCHOOL OF LIFE SCIENCES  
NORTH-EASTERN HILL UNIVERSITY  
SHILLONG-793014  
INDIA



# North-Eastern Hill University

Mayurbhanj Complex, Nongthymmai, Shillong-793014  
SCHOOL OF LIFE SCIENCES



Prof. Y.S. CHAUHAN  
HEAD  
Department of Botany

I certify that the thesis entitled "**Radiobiological Studies on Pinus with special reference to Pinus kesiya Royle ex Gord**" submitted by Mr. S.R. Katiyar for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy of the North-Eastern Hill University, Shillong, embodies the record of original investigation carried out by him under my supervision. The thesis presented is worthy of being considered for the award of the Ph.D. Degree. This work has not been submitted for any Degree of any other University.

SHILLONG

The 13. 2. 1991

Y.S. Chauhan  
Supervisor

Head  
Department of Botany  
School of Life Sciences  
NEHU  
Forwarded  
Y.S. Chauhan  
13.2.91

## CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Acknowledgement	i
CHAPTER I	
INTRODUCTION	1-3
CHAPTER II	
REVIEW OF LITERATURE	4-34
CHAPTER III	
SEED GERMINATION AND SEEDLING SURVIVAL	35-42
CHAPTER IV	
SEEDLINGS GROWTH	43-58
CHAPTER V	
SHOOT APICAL MERISTEM	59-69
CHAPTER VI	
RESIN DUCT	70-81
CHAPTER VII	
POLLEN GERMINATION AND POLLEN TUBE GROWTH	82-102
CHAPTER VIII	
GENERAL DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS	103-111
LITERATURE CITED	112-153

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I am thankful to Prof. Y.S. Chauhan, Head of the Department of Botany, North-Eastern Hill University, for his guidance and encouragement, during present investigation.

I am grateful to Prof. R.R. Mishra and to Prof. R.S. Tripathi former Heads of the Department of Botany, for providing necessary research facilities.

I am also thankful to all the faculty of Botany department and Dr. B.K. Tiwari, Reader in the Centre for Eco-Development, North-Eastern Hill University for the encouragement and help rendered in various ways.

I was fortunate to receive help and support from Dr. R.S. Katiyar, Dr. M.L. Khan, Dr. S.C. Tiwari, Dr. A.K. Shukla, Dr. S.C. Joshi, Dr. S.K. Sharma, Dr. J. Khan during my research work. I also acknowledge the immense co-operation received from Dr. N. Joshee, Dr.(Mrs.) A. Khan, Mr. V. Belho, Miss J. Sharma and Mr. S.K. Roy.

I am greatly indebted to my parent, brothers and other family member who have been the constant source of inspiration for me.

I express my appreciation to Mr. B.K. Das for photography, Mr. Salil Choudhury for the drawings and Mr. Paul Choudhury for typing the thesis.

I acknowledge the financial assistance in the form of a Junior Research Fellowship from the Department of Atomic Energy, Bombay and University Grants Commission, New Delhi (N.E.H.U. Fellowship), India, during the present investigation.

SHILLONG

The 13-2- 1991

  
S. R. KATIYAR

## INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this book is to provide a comprehensive and up-to-date account of the current state of research in the field of [unintelligible]. The book is intended for a wide range of readers, including students, researchers, and practitioners. It covers the theoretical foundations, empirical findings, and practical applications of [unintelligible]. The book is organized into several chapters, each focusing on a different aspect of the field. The first chapter provides an overview of the field, while the subsequent chapters delve into more specific topics. The book is written in a clear and concise style, and includes numerous examples and illustrations to aid in understanding. It is hoped that this book will be a valuable resource for anyone interested in [unintelligible].

## CHAPTER I

### INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this chapter is to provide an overview of the field of [unintelligible]. It discusses the historical development of the field, the current state of research, and the key issues and challenges that are currently being addressed. The chapter is organized into several sections, each focusing on a different aspect of the field. The first section provides a brief history of the field, while the subsequent sections discuss the current state of research and the key issues and challenges. The chapter is written in a clear and concise style, and includes numerous examples and illustrations to aid in understanding. It is hoped that this chapter will be a valuable resource for anyone interested in [unintelligible].

## INTRODUCTION

The turpentine, which is a natural product, is one of the major source of raw materials to the organic chemicals complex, because attempts to commercially synthesize terpenes have not met with success (Singh and Mehra, 1977). Four resin yielding Pinus species (P. roxburghii, P. kesiya, P. excelsa and P. gerardiana), although occur wild in India, only P. roxburghii is commercially exploited. The other spp. of Pinus (P. kesiya), which grow in N.E. India and yield pinene rich turpentine oil, could not be exploited commercially for various reasons including low yield of oleoresin (Chadha, 1977).

The work done at the Institute of Forest Genetics, U.S.A. has revealed that in many economically important tree species eg., Pinus improvement is hampered since these exhibit limited natural variation and poor hybridization possibilities (Rudolph, 1972). Induced mutations are considered an alternative to naturally occurring variation as the source of germplasm for plant improvement programme and also to hybridization and recombination in plant breeding (Brock, 1971). In pines, somatic mutations may be isolated through repeated vegetative propagation following mutagenic treatment (Rudolph, 1972). Ionizing radiations are increasingly used to induce mutation in plants. However, mutagenic efficiency is determined to a considerable extent by the degree of injuries in  $M_1$  generation (Gaul, 1964; Ehrenberg, 1971) and such injuries also affect the size of  $M_1$  population to be treated (Blixt, 1972). However, no work is available on the comparative radiosensitivity of P. kesiya and P. roxburghii, the two species growing wild in India.

Growth reactions of plants are reflected in the response of shoot apex (Gunckel and Sparrow, 1961). Thus, <sup>study of</sup> radiation-induced changes in apical meristem becomes pertinent for better understanding of radiation induced growth responses in treated material. Mergen and Thielges (1966) reported that chronic exposure of gamma rays induced maximum cellular injury in apical and axillary meristem of Pinus rigida. Pillai et al., (1980) described shoot apex organization in six Pinus species (P. gerardiana, P. roxburghii, P. wallichiana, P. insularis, P. massoniana, P. pseudostrobus). However, no information is available on the shoot apex organization of P. kesiya. It's responses to radiation also have not been investigated.

Resin ducts are a common feature in Pinus. These are found both in primary and secondary plant bodies (Fahn, 1978). In the primary plant body the resin ducts are found in the root, hypocotyl, shoot and needles and in the secondary plant body these are common feature of wood. The development of resin ducts is similar both in primary and secondary tissues (Fahn, 1978). Resin is synthesized and stored in the resin ducts. Growth hormones and wound etc. influence differentiation of resin ducts (Fahn et al., 1979). Cutter (1978) emphasized the need to find out various factors influencing resin ducts formation. No work is available on radiation effects on either development of resin ducts or secretion of resin in P. kesiya.

Osborne (1957) suggested that crosses with irradiated pollen may result in radiation-induced structural heterozygosity in the chromosomes of progenies thus inducing variability. Irradiated pollen could be effective in inducing parthenogenesis production of haploids and overcoming cross incompatibilities in forest trees (Rudolph, 1978). Radiobiological studies on pollen

of forest trees, therefore, become important. But no information is available on the radiobiological aspects of the P. kesiya pollen.

As radiobiological studies in P. kesiya and P. roxburghii were lacking the present study was undertaken to make a comparative study of radiation responses in  $M_1$  generation since this may provide basic information needed for irradiation experiments. The following aspects were considered:

1. Seed germination and seedling survival
2. Seedlings growth
3. Shoot apical meristem
4. Resin duct
5. Pollen germination and pollen tube growth