

5:1:1(1)

5: - ACADEMIC MATTERS:

5:1: - Statutes/Ordinance/Regulations & Rules:

- (i) Scrutiny vis a-vis the provisions of re-evaluation under Regulation RC-10.

The Academic Council in its 79th meeting held on 30.5.2008 vide Resolution No:AC:79:2008:(ii) considered the scrutiny vis-à-vis the provisions of re-evaluation under RC-10 and the Council Resolved to implement Regulation RC-10(4) at Annexure 'A'.

The matter is placed before the Council for consideration.

Report of the AC committee constituted for the purpose of looking into the matter of "scrutiny" vis-a-vis the provisions under RC-10.

Members:

| | |
|-----------------------|----------|
| Professor T. B. Subba | chairman |
| Professor R. Sharma | member |
| Professor B. War | member |


The committee was constituted by the AC in view of certain difficulties reportedly faced by college teachers while performing their duty as "scrutinisers", which were brought to the notice of the AC by their representatives. The most important difficulty expressed by them was with the scope of the word "scrutiny" as defined in RC-10, which under 4.2(ii) clearly says that "Scrutiny does not mean revaluation. It means only checking up as to whether all questions have been marked, both inside and on the facing sheet of the answer script, check up the correctness of the totalling of marks and posting of the same in the mark book." This definition, according to the college teachers representing the case, was not in the best interest of students because the latter often complained of under-marking. Hence, they pleaded with the august body for expansion of the scope of the word "scrutiny" to include aspects of "revaluation" as well. They also made several other valuable suggestions regarding undergraduate examination system, but the same are outside the jurisdiction of this committee.

To have a deeper understanding of the subject in question the committee decided to invite a few college teachers to the meeting held in the office of the Controller of Examinations in Bijni Complex on 14.9.2007 at 1.30 pm. In this meeting four college teachers, including the general secretary of the MCTA, were present. The discussion continued for more than two hours but no consensus could be arrived at. Hence it was decided that the committee would meet once again at the earliest convenient date.

The next meeting of the committee took place on 7.3.2008 at 3 pm in the VC's office premises. In this meeting the committee members reconsidered the matter of "scrutiny" vis-a-vis RC-10, as stipulated in the AC Resolution No. 77:2007:5:5(vi) and decided to recommend the following resolution to the AC:

"The scope of the word "scrutiny" as defined in RC-10, under 4.2(ii), is adequate for the purpose for which it has been framed. Therefore, there is no need for any amendment in this regulation."

The committee further noted that if any student felt that s/he was under-marked she had the option to ask for "revaluation" after paying the necessary fees, and any change in the scope of the word "scrutiny" may even vitiate the role of the first examiner.


T. B. Subba
Chairman

107270 P

ITEM NO.5

ACADEMIC MATTERS

5:1- *Statutes/Ordinances/Regulations & Rules:*

- (i) **Scrutiny vis-a-vis the provisions of re-evaluation under Regulation RC-10.**

NO:EC:134:2008:5:1:(i): The Council considered the scrutiny vis-a-vis the provisions of re-evaluation under Regulation RC-10 and **RESOLVED** to accept the decision of the 79th Academic Council in approving that Regulation RC-10 (4) be implemented in its entirety and approved the same.

- (ii) **Refund of fees.**

NO:EC:134:2008:5:1:(ii): The Council considered the refund of fees and **RESOLVED** to accept the decision of the 79th Academic Council in approving the Refund of Fees with amendments in the relevant Ordinance OF-1 under Clause 7 and approved the same.

- (iii) **Amendment of the provisions of Regulation RC-5 under Clause 4(5) with regard to evaluation of sessional work.**

NO:EC:134:2008:5:1:(iii): Read along with Item No.5:1: (vi):

- (iv) (a) **Draft Ordinance of Distance Mode of Education.**
 (b) **Draft Regulation on B.Ed. (Special Education):**
B.ED-SEDE.

NO:EC:134:2008:5:1:(iv): The Council considered the draft Ordinance of Distance Mode of Education and (b) Draft Regulation on B.Ed. (Special Education) - B.Ed.-SEDE and **RESOLVED** to accept the decision of the 79th Academic Council in approving the draft Ordinance of Distance Mode of Education and (b) Draft Regulation on B.Ed. (Special Education): B.ED-SEDE with modifications and approved the same.

- (v) **Constitution of the NEHU Teachers' Association Shillong.**

NO:EC:134:2008:5:1:(v): The Council considered the constitution of the NEHU Teachers' Association, Shillong, and **RESOLVED** to accept the Constitution of NEHUTA. This would be part of the NEHU Regulations.