

Ethnic Issues
Secularism
and
Conflict Resolution
in
North East India



Edited by **Bimal J. Deb**

The ethnic mosaic of North-East India offers a fascinating area of academic discourse which needs to be examined for a clear understanding of the elements of separatism or integration which necessarily carry socio-political ramifications. Against this background, North-East India Council for Social Science Research, Shillong, organised a seminar on Ethnic Issues, Secularism and Conflict Resolution in North-East India. This book is the outcome of that seminar. It attempts to assess the contours of ethno-cultural plurality in the social dynamics of the region.

Essentially, the focus is on the manner in which ethnicity is seeking fulfilment of aspirations arising out of its exposure to the forces of modernization. The book highlights the fact that contemporary North-East India remains witness to the momentous developments arising out of ethnic mobilization. The overriding need for a secular policy, however, remains unquestioned.

Bimal J. Deb is Professor and Head, Department of Political Science, North-Eastern Hill University, Shillong. He has several prestigious publications to his credit, including *Development Priorities in North East India* published by Concept Publishing Company, New Delhi.

Ethnic Issues, Secularism and Conflict Resolution in North East India

Edited by
BIMAL J. DEB

Published for
NORTH-EAST INDIA COUNCIL FOR SOCIAL SCIENCE RESEARCH,
SHILLONG

By
CONCEPT PUBLISHING COMPANY, NEW DELHI-110 059

Cataloging in Publication Data—DK

Courtesy: D.K. Agencies (P) Ltd. <docinfo@dkagencies.com>

Seminar on Ethnic Issues, Secularism and Conflict Resolution in North-east India (2001 : Shillong, India)

Ethnic issues, secularism and conflict resolution in North East India / edited by Bimal J. Deb.

p. cm.

Papers presented at the Seminar on Ethnic Issues, Secularism and Conflict Resolution in North-east India, held at Shillong during 25-26 April 2001.

Includes bibliographical references.

Includes index.

ISBN 8180691349

I. Ethnicity—India, Northeastern—Congresses. 2. Secularism—India, Northeastern—Congresses. 3. Conflict management—India, Northeastern—Congresses. I. Deb, Bimal J. II. North East India Council for Social Science Research. III. Title.

DDC 305.800 954 1 22

All rights reserved. No part of this work may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, microfilming, recording or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the copyright owner and the publisher.

ISBN-81-8069-134-9

First Published 2006

© NEICSSR, Shillong

Published and Printed by

Ashok Kumar Mittal

Concept Publishing Company

A/15-16, Commercial Block, Mohan Garden

NEW DELHI-110 059 (India)

Phones : 25351460, 25351794

Fax : 091-11-25357103

Email : publishing@conceptpub.com

CONTENTS

<i>Foreword by B. Datta Ray</i>	v
<i>Preface</i>	vii
<i>About the Contributors</i>	xii
1. Ethno-Nationalism and Self-Determination – Bimal J. Deb	1
2. Ethnic Issues, Secularism and Conflict Resolution in North-East India – J.B. Ganguly	5
3. Growing Challenge to the Concept of Secularism and its Special Impact on North-East Region – P.C. Biswas	9
4. Ethnicity and Ethnic Movements in North-East India – B.R. Rizvi	17
5. Ethnic Cultural Diversity of North-East India – N. N. Bhattacharjya	27
6. Ethnic Issues and Secularism : Dialectical Approach for Conflict Resolution – Ramesh Chandra	30
7. Secular Thoughts and Communal Practices in India and its North-East – Gorky Chakraborty	36
8. Equality, Fraternity and Development : Resolving Ethnic Conflict – Amalesh Banerjee	50
9. Ethno-Cultural Paranoia and Political Design : Some Reflections – Abhijit Choudhury	63

- | | | |
|-----|--|-----|
| 10. | Upholding the Value of Secularism in North-East India | 86 |
| | – J.B. Ganguly | |
| 11. | Secularism in India : Problems and Perspectives | 90 |
| | – R.N. Prasad | |
| 12. | Ethnicity, Ethnic Conflict and Conflict Resolution in North-East India | 102 |
| | – Susmita Priyadarshini | |
| 13. | Ethnicity in Tripura : A Conceptual Framework | 108 |
| | – Mahadev Chakravarti | |
| 14. | Ethnic Identity Issue of Arunachal Pradesh | 114 |
| | – R.P. Bhattacharjee | |
| 15. | Ethnic Issues in Nagaland and North-East India | 119 |
| | – Sukhendu Mazumdar | |
| 16. | Conflict Resolution in Naga Society : Past and Present | 123 |
| | – S.D. Nandi | |
| 17. | The Nepalis in Assam : A Question of Identity | 126 |
| | – Lopita Nath | |
| 18. | Implicit Communalism : The Case of Contemporary Assam | 134 |
| | – Samir Kumar Das | |
| 19. | Ideological Aspects of Bodo Separatism in Assam | 145 |
| | – Dhruba Pratim Sharma | |
| 20. | Movement of Lesser Known Ethnic Groups of Assam | 151 |
| | – Vashyati Bhuyan | |
| 21. | Tribal Population and Ethnic Problem in Assam | 159 |
| | – M.M. Das | |
| 22. | Issues and Problems of Ethnicity in Assam | 164 |
| | – P.C. Dutta and B.C. Pradhan | |
| 23. | Ethnicity, Secularism and Religious Tolerance : The Role of Ahoms in Assam Society | 177 |
| | – Lipi Ghosh | |
| 24. | Secularism, Ethnicity and Mongolian Culture in North-East India | 196 |
| | – Girin Phukan | |

<i>Contents</i>	<i>xi</i>
25. Ethnic Issues and Conflict Resolution : A Case Study of the Karbis in Assam - R.P. Athparia	201
26. Bodo Issues - S.K. Mukherjee	207
27. Ethnicity with Special Reference to North-East India - Gita Pyal	212
28. Identity Crisis of BOROS (BODOS) in Brahmaputra Valley - Premalata Devi	217
29. An Overview of the Dimasa Society - Minakshi Chakraborty	223
30. Spatial Distribution and Socio-Economic Well-being of Scheduled Tribe Population in Assam - Momita Goswami and Lakhahira Datta	229
<i>Index</i>	238

ETHNO-NATIONALISM AND SELF-DETERMINATION

BIMAL J. DEB

The engima of nationalism admittedly defies any cut and dried approach for unravelling its mystery and charm. Nevertheless no one can dispute the fact that the force of nationalism is most compelling and pervasive. Undoubtedly, membership in a nation provides “a powerful means of defining and locating individual selves in the world through the prism of collective personality and its distinctive culture”. At the same time popular mobilization is ignited and set in motion by the driving force of nationalism. Over the years it has been rather evident that the crystallization of national identity on ethnic lines eventually fosters collective identity often decisively and in a manner inconceivable by either religion or class. The fact, therefore, remains ethnic national identity is relatively more meaningful and promising than other identities more because it fulfils the test of stability.

Various scholars attribute the force of ethnic nationalism to the perception of the nation as a community of shared fate. One may not agree with Gellner who has opined that nationalism “invents nations where they do not exist” on the ground that there would be an element of fabrication in the process primarily because the elite in all cases construct social reality in terms of “cultural artifacts” and expressed through imagination which undoubtedly includes ethnic distinctiveness. There is no disputing the fact that the notion of the nation needs to be imagined. It is worth quoting Anderson who made theoretical formulation succinctly clear by stating that “members of even the smallest nation will never know most of their fellow members – yet in the mind of each lives the images of their community”. Ethnic communities are, therefore, not necessarily historically precise and

consistent reality. The fact however remains that ethnic nationalism is a 'powerful drive that induces millions across the globe to nurture the vision of better political existence'. In the construction of an ethnic community's image and also in the evolution of the entity several attributes matter such as culture, language and above all national consciousness. Indeed, the common denominator of all ethnic communities is national consciousness, which fosters, by all means, a feeling of belongingness and fraternity.

Of the various typologies of national movements, ethnic nationalism stands out to be the most significant because of its volatile nature, although examples of ethnic secession are very few indeed. The end of cold war, dissolution of the USSR and loosening of authoritarian shackles have no doubt seen the emergence of a number of ethnically defined states in the past one decade, the demonstration effect of which looms large in a number of other cases.

The gravitational pull of ethnic nationalism can be traced to certain basic urges. It goes without saying that membership in a nation promotes a sense of identification, which in turn instils a sense of pride in the tradition and institutions. Tradition remains the sheet anchor of ethnic identity and mobilization. Persistence of tradition has been found to be both socially and practically acceptable as a means of strengthening ethnic bond and overcoming anonymity. The result is anything but spectacular so much so that individuals consciously or unconsciously submerge themselves in the vortex of ethnic pride and prejudice to kindle the hope of governance in tune with some sense of equality. The inroads of modernization loom large in their lifestyle but tradition holds the sway, for it is at once uniting and rewarding. Evidently, ethnic aspirations get directed towards identity formation and consolidation. Over the years several ethnic categories have transformed themselves into ethnic communities.

Significantly, the crystallization process of ethnic community does not however take place at a rapid pace and at the same time no uniform pattern is discernible either. The properties of ethnic nationalism explain the explosive power rooted in the myth of a common ancestry and homeland. It is well acknowledged that prevalence of a common culture too facilitates the emergence of a collective personality. Above all, language and religion add to a sense of solidarity and are considered to be the important resources of ethnic community.

Over the past two decades ethnic nationalism has taken deep root in several parts of North-East India projecting the need for collective destiny. Side by side the idea of self-determination ignites and motivates individual members to take recourse to agitational path and often political extremism. The movement is not self-propelled because intelligentsia and political elite play the vital role of educating and mobilizing the masses along the path of ethnic separateness. Over and above, the rationale of the move as interpreted by the elite holds sway in the society. Generally speaking political autonomy and statehood are projected as the ultimate prize of ethnic nationalism. Elsewhere in the world stateless ethnic communities clamour the same sentiments even though the right of self-determination is no more than a political fiction.

The dissolution of the USSR led to the emergence of a number of new states and this development in its wake virtually reopened debate about the ethnic components of national identity along with the right of self-determination. However the guiding principles as enunciated by the former UN Secretary-General Boutros Ghali in Agenda for Peace have been by and large found to be acceptable to the members of the world community. It was held that if every ethnic, religious or linguistic group claimed statehood, there would be no limit to fragmentation and peace, security and economic wellbeing for all will become ever more difficult to achieve. In his prescription, all rival claims of sovereignty and self-determination could be resolved through respect for human rights particularly the rights of minorities on the one hand and democratization on the other.

Despite ethnic movements and upsurge, the conventional interpretation of self-determination as de-colonization remains largely intact at the beginning of a new century. However, the advocates of ethno-nationalism harp on the secessionist element of the right of self-determination, which in their view is a fundamental human right. Even the African Union held that only the ex-colonies could claim statehood. The emergence of Bangladesh was no doubt a triumph of ethnic nationalism but it was largely facilitated by external intervention. Again the emergence of post-Soviet States on ethnic line is considered to be a reiteration of the conventional interpretation of self-determination as new entities emerged after the collapse of an empire. Further, the Eritrean independence from Ethiopia and the recent success of

nationalist movement in East Timor too remain within the ambit of this understanding. It is not out of place to mention that the Canadian Supreme Court in 1996 ruled out Quebec's secession stating 'outside the colonial context, there is no recognition to a right to secession whether or not such population has any distinctive cultural identity.

Against this background the emphasis remains on democratic government, human rights and political autonomy within the existing borders. The legitimacy of state remains undiluted. Nevertheless, politicization of ethnicity and ethnic militancy continue to pose challenge to the power and stability of the state and competing theories may be cited to explain the clamour for political bargaining. Michael Hechter has argued that relatively most backward region of country will exhibit the strongest desire for ethnic autonomy. On the other hand, Innamuel Wallerstein argues that the richest ethnic group living on the geographic periphery will express the strongest desire for sovereignty. In another explanation Rothschild holds that ethnicity can be seen as a highly conscious political and new mode of interest articulation. The fact however remains undisputed that politicization of ethnicity on the plank of socio-economic demands is a legitimate instrument in the pursuit of political power.

REFERENCES

- Freeman, Michael, Democracy and Dynamite : The People's Right to Self-determination, *Political Studies*, Vol. XLIV, 1996.
- Moore, Margaret, National Self-determination, *Political Studies*, Vol. XVI, 1997.
- Never, Renee de, Democratization and Ethnic Conflict, *Survival*, Vol. 35, No. 2, Summer 1993.
- Tamir, Yeal, The Enigma of Nationalism, *World Politics*, Vol. 47, April 1995.