

GENERALIZATION OF EISENSTEIN'S CONGRUENCE

P. JOTHILINGAM (Shillong)

We shall use the letter p to denote an odd prime number. Fermat's little theorem asserts that the residue of 2^{p-1} modulo p is 1. Regarding the residue of 2^{p-1} modulo p^2 , Eisenstein [1], proved the following.

THEOREM A. *For any integer s , $1 \leq s \leq p-1$, let \bar{s} represent the inverse class of $s \pmod p$. Then*

$$2^{p-1} \equiv 1 + p(\bar{1} + \bar{3} + \dots + \overline{p-2}) \pmod{p^2}.$$

In this note, Eisenstein's congruence is extended to include residue of 2^{p-1} modulo p^3 . We state

THEOREM B. *Let $p > 3$ and define $\lambda = \frac{p-1}{2}$. For any integer s , $1 \leq s \leq p-1$; let \tilde{s} be a representative of the inverse class of $s \pmod{p^2}$. Then*

$$2^{p-1} \equiv 1 + p(\tilde{1} + \tilde{3} + \dots + \widetilde{p-2}) - p^2 \sum_{s=1}^{\lambda} \left\{ \theta_s + \left(\frac{2}{p}\right) \theta_{\lambda-s+1} \right\} 2^{s-1} \pmod{p^3}$$

where $\left(\frac{2}{p}\right)$ stands for the Legendre's symbol and $\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_{\lambda}$ are the quadratic residues mod p in a certain order.

REMARK. Since $p\tilde{s} \equiv p\bar{s} \pmod{p^2}$, it is clear that Theorem A follows from Theorem B.

PROOF OF THEOREM B. We start from the identity

$$(1) \quad 1 - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} - \dots + \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n} = \sum_{s=1}^n (-1)^{s-1} \binom{n}{s} \frac{2^s - 1}{s}.$$

This identity is easily established through induction. Take $n=p$ in this identity and use the fact that $\binom{p}{s} = \frac{p}{s} \binom{p-1}{s-1}$; we get

$$(2) \quad 1 - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} - \dots - \frac{1}{p-1} - \frac{2^p - 2}{p} = p \sum_{s=1}^{p-1} (-1)^{s-1} \binom{p-1}{s-1} \frac{2^s - 1}{s^2}.$$

Since $\binom{p-1}{s-1} \equiv (-1)^{s-1} \pmod{p}$, the identity (2) implies that the numerator of the fraction (in reduced form)

$$(3) \quad 1 - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} - \dots - \frac{1}{p-1} - \frac{2^p-2}{p} - p \sum_{s=1}^{p-1} \frac{2^s-1}{s^2}$$

is divisible by p^2 . Multiplying the fraction (3) by $[(p-1)!]^2$ we find that p^2 divides the integer

$$(4) \quad \bar{1} - \bar{2} + \bar{3} - \dots - \widetilde{p-1} - \frac{2^p-2}{p} - p \sum_{s=1}^{p-1} (2^s-1) \bar{s}^2$$

where \bar{s} denotes inverse of $s \pmod{p}$.

But it is well known that [1]

$$\bar{1} - \bar{2} + \bar{3} - \dots - \widetilde{p-1} \equiv 2(\bar{1} + \bar{3} + \dots + \widetilde{p-2}) \pmod{p^2}$$

and that

$$\bar{1}^2 + \bar{2}^2 + \dots + \overline{p-1}^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Using these informations in (4) we find that the integer

$$2(\bar{1} + \bar{3} + \dots + \widetilde{p-2}) - \frac{2^p-2}{p} - 2p \sum_{s=1}^{p-1} 2^{s-1} \bar{s}^2$$

is divisible by p^2 . Cancelling the factor 2 and multiplying by p we find that

$$(5) \quad 2^{p-1} \equiv 1 + p(\bar{1} + \bar{3} + \dots + \widetilde{p-2}) - p^2 \sum_{s=1}^{p-1} 2^{s-1} \bar{s}^2 \pmod{p^3}.$$

By Euler's criterion, $2^{(p-1)/2} \equiv \left(\frac{2}{p}\right) \pmod{p}$ i.e. $2^\lambda \equiv \left(\frac{2}{p}\right) \pmod{p}$. Hence $2^{\lambda+1} \equiv$

$\equiv 2 \left(\frac{2}{p}\right)$, $2^{\lambda+2} \equiv 2^2 \left(\frac{2}{p}\right)$, ..., $2^{p-2} \equiv 2^{(p-3)/2} \left(\frac{2}{p}\right) \pmod{p}$. This implies

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s=1}^{p-1} 2^{s-1} \bar{s}^2 &= \sum_{s=1}^{\lambda} 2^{s-1} \bar{s}^2 + \sum_{s=1}^{\lambda} 2^{\lambda+s-1} (\overline{\lambda+s})^2 \equiv \sum_{s=1}^{\lambda} 2^{s-1} \bar{s}^2 + \sum_{s=1}^{\lambda} 2^{s-1} \left(\frac{2}{p}\right) (\overline{\lambda+s})^2 \equiv \\ &\equiv \sum_{s=1}^{\lambda} \left\{ \bar{s}^2 + \left(\frac{2}{p}\right) (\overline{\lambda+s})^2 \right\} 2^{s-1} \equiv \sum_{s=1}^{\lambda} \left\{ \bar{s}^2 + \left(\frac{2}{p}\right) (\overline{\lambda-s+1})^2 \right\} 2^{s-1} \pmod{p} \end{aligned}$$

since $\lambda+s \equiv -(\lambda-s+1) \pmod{p}$. Let $\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_\lambda$ be the residues of $\bar{1}^2, \bar{2}^2, \dots, \dots, \bar{\lambda}^2 \pmod{p}$, in this order. It is clear then that $\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_\lambda$ are the quadratic

residues modulo p . Moreover, from what precedes,

$$\sum_{s=1}^{p-1} 2^{s-1} \bar{s}^2 \equiv \sum_{s=1}^{\lambda} \left\{ \theta_s + \left(\frac{2}{p} \right) \theta_{\lambda-s+1} \right\} 2^{s-1} \pmod{p}.$$

Using this in (5) we get Theorem B.

References

- [1] G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright, *An Introduction to Number Theory*, Oxford Univ. Press (1959).

(Received August 8, 1983)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
NORTH EASTERN HILL UNIVERSITY
BJNI CAMPUS, LAITUMKHAH
SHILLONG 793 003, MEGHALAYA, INDIA