

Applied Economics on North-East India



Kanchan Datta

Chandan Kumar Mukhopadhyay

APPLIED ECONOMICS ON NORTH-EAST INDIA


Dr. Kanchan Datta

Dr. Chandan Kumar Mukhopadhyay



ABHIJEET PUBLICATIONS

DELHI 110094



ABHIJEET PUBLICATIONS
2/46 Tukhmeerapur Extension
Delhi-110 094
Phone: 011-22960492, 65698474
E-mail: abhijeetpublication@gmail.com

338. 90416
DATE: 21/06/12

APPLIED ECONOMICS ON NORTH-EAST INDIA

First Published 2011

© Authors

ISBN 978-93-81136-17-1

[All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, copied, stored in a retrieval system, transmitted or used in any form or by any means, whether electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission from the author or publishers, except for a brief quotations in critical articles or reviews.]

PRINTED IN INDIA

Published by J.K. Singh for Abhijeet Publications, Delhi-110 094,
Lasertypeset by Gaurav Graphics, New Delhi and Printed at
Himanshu Printers, Delhi.

Contents

<i>Preface</i>	<i>v</i>
1. Relationship between Agriculture and Economic Growth in Arunachal Pradesh—A Reflection of Tribal Commitment	1
2. Tourism in Arunachal Pradesh—Some Prospects and Problems	14
3. Some Development Perspectives of North-East India	43
4. Degree of Association between Forestry and Fishing in North-East India	78
5. ARIMA Forecasting on Domestic Tourists' Arrival in Sikkim	87
6. Rice Production in Assam—A Brief Note	105

1

Relationship between Agriculture and Economic Growth in Arunachal Pradesh—A Reflection of Tribal Commitment


1.1 Introduction

India has the largest concentration of tribal people anywhere in the world except perhaps in Africa. The tribal are children of nature and their lifestyle is conditioned by the Eco-system. India, with a variety of ecosystems, presents a varied tribal population throughout its length and breadth. The areas inhabited by the tribal constitute a significant part of the under developed areas of the country. The tribal live mostly in isolated villages or hamlets. A smaller portion of their population has now settled in permanent villages as well as in towns and cities. On the whole, as per rough estimates, the prominent tribal areas constitute about 15 percent of the total geographical area of the country. Tribal people over the years have become

the most disadvantaged, exploited and the neglected lot in our society. The tribal basically stand averse to the modern life styles and hardly willing to change until and unless they encounter a very strong social mobilization.

There are 533 tribes (with many overlapping types in more than one State) as per notified Schedule under Article 342 of the Constitution of India in different States and Union Territories of the country with the largest number of 62 being in the State of Orissa.

Arunachal Pradesh is a thinly populated hilly tract lying on the north-east extremity of India comprising roughly 83,573 square kilometers. The Pradesh is known to be rich in flora, fauna, power and mineral potential. Previously this region was known as the North East Frontier Agency (NEFA) and constituted a part of the state of Assam. Arunachal Pradesh became an independent state on 20th February 1987. It is bounded by independent countries on the three sides and by Assam and Nagaland in the south. The long international border comprises of Bhutan on its west (160 km), the Tibet region of China on its northern and north east border (1080 km) and Myanmar (formerly Burma (440km) on the eastern border.



Arunachal Pradesh's gross state domestic product for 2004 was estimated at \$706 million in current prices. Agriculture primarily drives the economy. Jhum, the local word for a shifting cultivation widely practiced among the tribal groups, is now less practiced. Arunachal Pradesh has close to 61,000 square kilometers of forests, and forest products are the next most significant sector of the economy. Among the crops grown here are rice, maize, millet, wheat, pulses, sugarcane, ginger, and oilseeds. Arunachal is also ideal for horticulture and fruit orchards. Its major industries are rice mills, fruit preservation units, and handloom handicrafts. Saw-mills and plywood trades are prohibited under law.

1.2 Some of the major Tribes of North Eastern India

Arunachal Pradesh: Nyishi, Adi, Tagin, Apatani, Wangcho, Dafla, Khampti, Singpho etc

Assam: Boro, Kachari, Mikir (Karbi), Lalung, Rabha, Dimasa, Hmar, Hajong etc

Meghalaya: Garo, Khasi, Jaintia, etc.


Tripura: Chakma, Garo, Khasi, Kuki, Lusai, Liang, Santhal etc

Mizoram: Lusai, Kuki, Garo, Khasi, Jaintia, Mikir etc.

According to 2001 Census, in Arunachal Pradesh the tribal population constitutes 64.22% of the total population in which the highest percentage is in Kurung Kurney district with (97.89%) while in Lohit district schedule tribes account for only a third of the population (32.42). Figure-1.1 shows the diagrammatic representation of the tribal peoples in

North-Eastern states in India. Which shows that Mizoram, Meghalaya and Nagaland contain more than 80% of schedule tribe people.

Figure 1.1



In 1990, an attempt was taken by UNDP in its Human Development Reports to construct an index to analysis the comparative status of socio-economic development of different nations, which is termed as 'Human Development Index' (HDI). The HDI attempts to rank all countries on a scale of 0 to 1. It consists of three indices, income index, health index and education index. With respect to HDI Arunachal Pradesh is the least developed states among eight north-eastern states in India.

Other than HDI, there are other indices to measure level of development from various angles these are GDI (Gender Development Index), GEM (Gender Empowerment Index), HPI (Human Poverty Index) etc, according to GDI nation's development can not be possible without the development of female. The main components of these index is

- (1) with respect to per-capita income how much females are getting compared to male

- (2) with respect to education how girl students are progressing compared to boys
- (3) the male-female ratio with respect to enrollment in school and
- (4) average life expectancy of female compared to male etc.


Therefore according to this index though high HDI implies high level of development, but it does not reflect the status of women of a nation. It is only through GDI which reflects the status of women of a nation and whose development is the true reflection of the development of a nation. Some socio-economic indicators are given in the table below.

Table 1.1

States	Population Growth (1991-2001)	Area (Sq.Km)	Literacy rate (2001)		HDI		
			Male	Female	1981	1991	2001
India	22.7		75.8	54.2	0.263	0.381	0.56
Arunachal	27	83743	63.8	43.5	0.228	0.328	0.49
		(B)	(L)	(L)	(L)	(L)	(L)

Table 1.1 shows with respect to decadal population growth Arunachal is higher than national average, where as with respect to literacy rate, it is lagging behind the national average both with respect to male and female. The Human Development Index shows its rank is lowest among the eight north-east states in India. Figure-1.2 shows the sectoral share of Gross State Domestic Product (GSDP), which clearly shows the share of agriculture has declined slightly between 1999 to 2005, where as the share of service sector has increased while intermediate sector remains unchanged.

Figure 1.2



1.3 Objective and Importance of the Study

Since a huge percentage of tribal people in Arunachal Pradesh depended on agriculture and its related activities and the remaining are in unregistered manufacturing hence the objective of this study is to investigate the contribution of agriculture and its related activities that is finishing, forestry and logging, village industries (Unregistered manufacturing) to the growth of state's income (NSDP). This may be a true reflection of the tribal people's commitment to the growth of state's income.

1.4 Data and Methodology

In this study the data are time series yearly data taken from RBI bulletin and the time periods are from 1999 to 2007. As far as methodology is concerned Vector Auto Regression (VAR) models are used.

1.5 Models

The study is based on the following equations. Where g means growth rate.

$$gNSDP_t = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 gNSDP_{t-1} + \alpha_3 gNSDP_{t-2} + \alpha_4 gFISHING_{t-1} + \alpha_5 gFISHING_{t-2} + \varepsilon_1 \quad (1.1)$$

$$gFISHING_t = \beta_1 + \beta_2 gNSDP_{t-1} + \beta_3 gNSDP_{t-2} + \beta_4 gFISHING_{t-1} + \beta_5 gFISHING_{t-2} + \varepsilon_2 \quad (1.2)$$

$$gNSDP_t = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 gNSDP_{t-1} + \gamma_3 gNSDP_{t-2} + \gamma_4 gMANUFAC_{t-1} + \gamma_5 gMANUFAC_{t-2} + \varepsilon_3 \quad (1.3)$$

$$gMANUFAC_t = \delta_1 + \delta_2 gNSDP_{t-1} + \delta_3 gNSDP_{t-2} + \delta_4 gMANUFAC_{t-1} + \delta_5 gMANUFAC_{t-2} + \varepsilon_4 \quad (1.4)$$

$$gNSDP_t = \theta_1 + \theta_2 gNSDP_{t-1} + \theta_3 gNSDP_{t-2} + \theta_4 gFORESTRY_{t-1} + \theta_5 gFORESTRY_{t-2} + \varepsilon_5 \quad (1.5)$$

$$gFORESTRY_t = \pi_1 + \pi_2 gNSDP_{t-1} + \pi_3 gNSDP_{t-2} + \pi_4 gFORESTRY_{t-1} + \pi_5 gFORESTRY_{t-2} + \varepsilon_6 \quad (1.6)$$

$$gNSDP_t = \mu_1 + \mu_2 gNSDP_{t-1} + \mu_3 gNSDP_{t-2} + \mu_4 gAGRI_{t-1} + \mu_5 gAGRI_{t-2} + \varepsilon_7 \quad (1.7)$$

$$gAGRI_t = \nu_1 + \nu_2 gNSDP_{t-1} + \nu_3 gNSDP_{t-2} + \nu_4 gAGRI_{t-1} + \nu_5 gAGRI_{t-2} + \varepsilon_8 \quad (1.8)$$

where ε_i , $i=1...8$ are white noise error terms

1.6 Diagrammatic Presentation of the Primary and Unregistered Manufacturing Industries

Figure 1.3 shows the diagrammatic representation of the growth of agriculture and its related activities and the growth of unregistered manufacturing.

Figure 1.3




Figure 1.3 shows the diagrammatic representation of the Agricultural growth and its related activities and the growth of unregistered manufacturing, since in Arunachal Pradesh there is not a single registered manufacturing sector, so unregistered manufacturing may be treated as village and small industries. The common thing among the entire growth rate is that volatility, that is growth, is fluctuating in nature. The growth of agriculture has a declining trend, where as growth of fishing, forestry and logging have an upward tendency. But after 2006 all the rates have sharply declined.

1.7 Findings

The estimations of the VAR model is given in the table below. For equations (1.1) and (1.2) that is

$$gNSDP_t = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 gNSDP_{t-1} + \alpha_3 gNSDP_{t-2} + \alpha_4 gFISHING_{t-1} + \alpha_5 gFISHING_{t-2} + \varepsilon_1 \quad (1.1)$$

$$gFISHING_t = \beta_1 + \beta_2 gNSDP_{t-1} + \beta_3 gNSDP_{t-2} + \beta_4 gFISHING_{t-1} + \beta_5 gFISHING_{t-2} + \varepsilon_2 \quad (1.2)$$

Table 1.2: Results of Regression of Equations (1.1) and (1.2)

Constant / Parameters	Estimated value	Standard error	t-value	Level of Significance
α_1	22.12701	2.06508	10.7148	***
α_2	-1.254897	0.13250	-9.47069	***
α_3	-0.867919	0.12173	-7.12975	***
α_4	-0.059800	0.10901	-0.54860	
α_5	-0.310992	-3.85247	-2.33296	**
β_1	19.58160	0.69644	1.49250	
β_2	-0.895403	-5.53169	-1.06364	
β_3	-0.810697	0.77340	-1.04823	
β_4	1.017424	0.69254	1.46912	
β_5	-1.140936	0.84691	-1.34717	

*** implies 1% level, ** implies 5% level of significance

Now for equations (1.3) and (1.4) that is

$$gNSDP_t = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 gNSDP_{t-1} + \gamma_3 gNSDP_{t-2} + \gamma_4 gMANUFAC_{t-1} + \gamma_5 gMANUFAC_{t-2} + \varepsilon_3 \quad (1.3)$$

$$gMANUFAC_t = \delta_1 + \delta_2 gNSDP_{t-1} + \delta_3 gNSDP_{t-2} + \delta_4 gMANUFAC_{t-1} + \delta_5 gMANUFAC_{t-2} + \varepsilon_4 \quad (1.4)$$

Table 1.3: Results of Regression of Equations (1.3) and (1.4)

Constant / Parameters	Estimated value	Standard error	t-value	Level of Significance
γ_1	20.71048	3.27120	6.33115	***
γ_2	-1.193590	0.19780	-6.03437	***
γ_3	-0.832517	0.19743	-4.21681	***
γ_4	-0.244023	0.15594	-1.56483	
γ_5	-0.101848	0.15561	-0.65449	

δ_1	2.089404	13.8013	0.15139
δ_2	0.396526	0.83452	0.47516
δ_3	0.138423	0.83295	0.16618
δ_4	0.378914	0.65792	0.57593
δ_5	0.102966	0.65653	0.15683

*** implies 1% level, ** implies 5% level of significance

Now for equations (1.5) and (1.6) that is

$$gNSDP_t = \theta_1 + \theta_2 gNSDP_{t-1} + \theta_3 gNSDP_{t-2} + \theta_4 gFORESTRY_{t-1} + \theta_5 gFORESTRY_{t-2} + \varepsilon_5 \quad (1.5)$$

$$gFORESTRY_t = \pi_1 + \pi_2 gNSDP_{t-1} + \pi_3 gNSDP_{t-2} + \pi_4 gFORESTRY_{t-1} + \pi_5 gFORESTRY_{t-2} + \varepsilon_6 \quad (1.6)$$

Table 1.4: Results of Regression of Equations (1.5) and (1.6)

Constant / Parameters	Estimated value	Standard error	t-value	Level of Significance
θ_1	18.30712	1.04608	17.5007	***
θ_2	-1.147456	0.07678	-14.9443	***
θ_3	-0.853194	0.07889	-10.8154	***
θ_4	-0.126980	0.02856	-4.44547	***
θ_5	-0.028704	0.03428	-0.83728	
π_1	6.184676	30.8081	0.20075	
π_2	-0.281858	2.26132	-0.12464	
π_3	-0.016277	2.32331	-0.00701	
π_4	0.358167	0.84124	0.42576	
π_5	0.080458	1.00964	0.07969	

*** implies 1% level, ** implies 5% level of significance

Finally for equations (1.7) and (1.8) that is

$$gNSDP_t = \mu_1 + \mu_2 gNSDP_{t-1} + \mu_3 gNSDP_{t-2} + \mu_4 gAGRI_{t-1} + \mu_5 gAGRI_{t-2} + \varepsilon_7 \quad (1.7)$$

$$gAGRI_t = \nu_1 + \nu_2 gNSDP_{t-1} + \nu_3 gNSDP_{t-2} + \nu_4 gAGRI_{t-1} + \nu_5 gAGRI_{t-2} + \varepsilon_8 \quad (1.8)$$

Table 1.5: Results of Regression of Equations (1.7) and (1.8)

Constant / Parameters	Estimated value	Standard error	t-value	Level of Significance
μ_1	59.36616	10.7938	5.50005	***
μ_2	-3.852477	0.69644	-5.53169	***
μ_3	-3.806143	0.77413	-4.91664	***
μ_4	-2.635494	0.68232	-3.86253	***
μ_5	1.451465	0.37053	3.91726	***
ν_1	-55.92007	26.2779	-2.12803	**
ν_2	3.614995	1.69551	2.13210	**
ν_3	4.700092	1.88467	2.49386	**
ν_4	3.986752	1.66115	2.40000	**
ν_5	-1.943655	0.90207	-2.15465	**

*** implies 1% level, ** implies 5% level of significance

From the above results it can be said,

- (1) Regarding equation (1.1) and (1.2) α_2 and α_3 are highly significant; it implies current period growth of income inversely depends on previous two period's income. It is the reflection of volatility of income.
- (2) α_5 is also significant, which implies two previous periods growth of fishing is also significant for maintaining the volatility of income growth.
- (3) β_1 's are insignificant, it implies growth of income is not significant for the growth of fishing, it is totally traditional year after year.

- (4) γ_4 , γ_5 and δ_i 's are insignificant, which implies neither income growth affecting manufacturing nor the unregistered manufacturing growth affecting growth of income. Therefore manufacturing is at the subsistence level.
- (5) θ_i 's are significant . It shows that a large section of tribal people are dependent on forest resources. Growth of forestry and logging is significantly affecting the growth of income.
- (6) Finally the growth of income positively affecting the growth of agriculture.

1.7 Conclusion

In the eighties and latter half of nineties agriculture's contribution was more prominent than the growth of any other states. Nowadays almost 32% Arunachal's economic growth comes from Agriculture. Forestry and logging, and Fisheries take an important part in the economy of Arunachal Pradesh. The primary sector as a whole recently is responsible for 40% of the economic growth of the state. But the average contribution of manufacturing is being 4.04% of NSDP. If this situation continues it will be very difficult for the government of Arunachal to raise the level of development indices.

REFERENCES

- Arunachal Pradesh Human Development Report*, 2003.
Reserve Bank of India Bulletin Published Data (Down Load)
India Development Report, 2005.
Nayak, Purusottam. 'Human Development Reports on North-East India: A Bird's Eye View': (Down Load)

National Human Development Report, 2001.

Reserve Bank of India Bulletin (Sectoral Composition of NSDP)

Todaro M.P. and Smith S.C. *Economic Development*, Eight editions, 2003

Tripura Human Development Report, 2003.