

## iii) Evaluation and results of Political Science

The Secretary Meghalaya college Principals Council vide her letter placed as Annexure 'A' has forwarded a copy of representation from the students of various colleges in Shillong on the subject relating to performance/result of Political Science Major students in University examinations along with the list of names of the students having Pol. Science as a major subject which are placed as Annexure 'B' and 'C'

Further, the secretary has intimated the decision of the Meghalaya College Principal's Council as arrived at in its meeting held on 8.10.99 which is to request the University to consider introduction of the following practices in the Examination system :-

1) The examinee be allowed to request for a photocopy of the evaluated answerscripts for which a fee may be charged by the University.

2) Moderation of marks subjectwise be introduced whenever major discrepancies appear in different papers of a Particular subject.

The matter was placed before the 62nd meeting of the Academic Council and the Council Resolve to appoint a Committee to look into the Evaluation System and other matters related to examinations.

The matter is placed before the Council for consideration.

SG/7/12/99)

## MEGHALAYA COLLEGE PRINCIPALS' COUNCIL

President :  
Fr STEPHEN MAVELY SDB  
Principal  
St Anthony's College  
Shillong - 793001

Phone: 223558 (J)  
" : 222558 (R)

Secretary :  
Smti B Tymthai  
Principal  
Seng Khasi College,  
Shillong- 793002  
Phone : 242742(O)  
" : 250590(R)

No:MCPC/99/9

Dated 9th October' 99

To,

The Vice-Chancellor,  
North-Eastern Hill University,  
Mawkynroh, Umshing,  
SHILLONG - 793022

Dear Sir,

Please find enclosed herewith a copy of a representation from the students of various Colleges in Shillong regarding the evaluation and results of Political Science. The matter was discussed at a meeting of the Meghalaya College Principals' Council on 08.10.99

The Council felt that the issue was very genuine and resolved to endorse the memorandum to you, Sir, with a request to initiate steps to resolve the problem.

Further, the Council resolved to request the University to consider introducing the following practices in the Examination system.

1. The examinee be allowed to request for a photocopy of the evaluated answerscripts for which a fee may be charged by the University.
2. Moderation of marks subjectwise be introduced whenever major discrepancies appear in the evaluation of different papers of a particular subject.

With kind regards,

Yours Sincerely

Sd/-  
Smt B Tymthai  
Secretary

Copy to :-

1. The Controller of Examinations.
2. Shri J M S Khongwir, Assistant Registrar (Conference) with a request to include this item for the next Academic Meeting.

£:5:3(3)

29th September'1999  
Shillong.

To,

The Vice-Chancellor,  
North-Eastern Hill University  
Shillong.Subject :- Performance/Result of Political Science Major  
Students in University Examinations.

Sir/Madam,

We the students of the Political Science major courses (Part-2 & 3 years) in the major college of Shillong recently met to deliberate on the performances of the students in the University Examination (Political Science Major) over past few years and also make a self assessment of the performances of the present batch of 3rd year (part-2) students in their part-I (Major) examination held in March-April 1999. The meeting discussed various aspects of the above mentioned subject and it was decided to present to the University the following for favour of your kind consideration and necessary action to remove the feeling of desperation and grievance of the students.

1. The general performance of the student in Political Science Major, judging from the mark obtained, can hardly be said to be satisfactory over the past few years as there is hardly any student securing First Class in their examination. We do not understand this situation where not even a single student is intelligent enough to obtain a bare 60% marks in Political Science. Surely such a picture cannot be objectively correct for the results of the major students in other subjects show marks different.
2. From the marks obtained by the students in their Part-1 examination in Political Science Major, it appears that there is a tendency of average marking which hovers around 30% 40%. We the students of the 3rd year, being dissatisfied with marks allotted, sought for re-evaluation. But it did not make any significant difference. Students of different colleges could not have answered in the same manner or language. Yet they get the same or similar marks. We presume the fault lies somewhere in the evaluation system. Particularly in this subject.
3. When we discussed with our teachers in college about these fact, they expressed their dismay over the state of affairs in Political Science and also informed us that they consulted all available literature to give us the very best in their teaching. The students also appear to be largely satisfied about the teaching as well as the approach of the teachers and appreciated their concern.

It is ironical that inspite of all those the performance of the students does not show any sign of improvement.

4. It becomes logical to conclude, on the basis of the above that all together different standards of question setting evaluation and marking appear to exist atleast in the Political Science Department particular for the major students which is different from that of other subjects .

5. These facts and their consequences have created a sense of frustration among us. We no longer feel inspired to study as hard as we used to under the circumstances. We even regret to have opted for Political Science as our Major subject. Before we can devote ourselves once again to our studies sincerely. We would like to know from the university as to what shuld be the intclectual standard of the students to be able to score creditably in Political Science.

In the meeting, questions regarding the evaluation pattern were also raised. We cannot understand as to why students with 40 marks and above cannot take up re-evaluation. We are also surprised that general students secure more marks in Political Science than the major students. Moreover in the papers of other disciplines the marks secured by the major Political Science students are creditably higher compared to Political Science. Moreover the Books and Materials for the syllabus are not available especially for the seventh papers (VII)

We, therefore request to you, sir to kindly look into the matter and take corrective measures, so that the students' ~~XXXXX~~ pursuing their studies in Political Science Major, in under-graduate courses get due justice and do not suffer from such traumatic experiences. We request that those who are responsible for this problem, which students of the past and of the present are facing, should be conscientized and dealt with, so that the future career of the students and their academic pursuit are not put at a risk any more.

Copy for information and necessary action.

1. Controller of Examination, NEHU.
2. Dean of Humanities, NEHU.
3. Head of the Department of Political Science, NEHU.
4. Chairman, Board of Under-Graduate Studies, Political Science, NEHU.
5. Principals Council.

<u>Sl.No:</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>College</u>	<u>Signature</u>
1.	Febreena Nongkynrih	- Synod College -	Sd/-
2.	Basuk Kurkalang	- do -	Sd/-
3.	Rupanylla Nongkynrih	- do -	Sd/-
4.	Dorothy S Iakyntein	- do -	Sd/-
5.	Julina Thongni	- do -	Sd/-
6.	Mardalin Snaitang	- do -	Sd/-
7.	Ibaphira Skhemlong	- do -	Sd/-
8.	Manaj Sharma	<u>St. Anthony's College</u>	
9.	N Rymbai	- do -	Sd/-
10.	L Kripran	- do -	Sd/-
11.	B Nongspung	- do -	Sd/-
12.	M R Waukhar	- do -	Sd/-
13.	N Promod Singh	- do -	Sd/-
14.	Prakash Bora	- do -	Sd/-
15.	LALHMUNINAWIA RACHUAU	- do -	Sd/-
16.	P S Somatai	- do -	Sd/-
17.	T N Sangma	- do -	Sd/-
18.	B Daimari	- do -	Sd/-
19.	M Marngar	- do -	Sd/-
20.	Illegible	- do -	Sd/-
21.	A K Ngaihte	- do -	Sd/-
22.	S K Ryngki	- do -	Sd/-
23.	B Syiemiony	- do -	Sd/-
24.	S Singh	- do -	Sd/-
25.	S Langte	- do -	Sd/-
26.	L Ashuli	- do -	Sd/-
27.	Zibenthung	- do -	Sd/-
28.	Mekip - hi	- do -	Sd/-
29.	Stellina Suting	- do -	Sd/-
30.	Trishanel Thongni	- do -	Sd/-
31.	Madhu Sinha	Women's College	Sd/-
32.	Mirabel Majaw	- do -	Sd/-
33.	Deimo Lyndem	- do -	Sd/-
34.	Hiyalee Mishra	- do -	Sd/-
35.	Martinet Rymmai	<u>Shillong College</u>	Sd/-
36.	Enellgraycia Lyngdoy	- do -	Sd/-
37.	Thomas L Marshillong	<u>St. Anthony's College.</u>	Sd/-
38.	Fernando Shangpliang	- do -	Sd/-
39.	Donbok Dohling	- do -	Sd/-

<u>Sl.No.</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>College</u>	<u>Signature</u>
1.	Ialamlang Ranee	St. Anthony's	Sd/-
2.	Albert Nongrum	- do -	Sd/-
3.	Richely J. Nongbet	- do -	Sd/-
4.	P. Dam	- do -	Sd/-
5.	Ibaphira Skhemlon	Synod College	Sd/-
6.	Ellen L. Varte	St. Mary's	Sd/-
7.	Sakiba Mohammed	Lady Keane	Sd/-
8.	Enell G. Lyngdoh	Shillong College	Sd/-
9.	Pema Gyaltshen	St. Anthony's	Sd/-
10.	A. Koyu	Sankardev	Sd/-
11.	Marioni Snaitang	Song Khasi	Sd/-
12.	Petrus Hahshah	St. Anthony's	Sd/-
13.	Badashisha Wenchend	Lady Keane	Sd/-
14.	Itan Nioha Blein	- do -	Sd/-
15.	Jence Basumatary	- do -	Sd/-
16.	I. Cinsin	- do -	Sd/-
17.	T. Yaren	- do -	Sd/-
18.	Lalnangliani	- do -	Sd/-
19.	A. Zomuanthangi	- do -	Sd/-
20.	Evangelica Lamare	- do -	Sd/-
21.	A. Diana Lanong	- do -	Sd/-
22.	Merina Nongtdu	- do -	Sd/-
23.	Gyayatri Upadhaya	- do -	Sd/-
24.	Bindu Gurung	- do -	Sd/-
25.	Bipa Verma	- do -	Sd/-
26.	Illegible	- do -	Sd/-
27.	Margareth Laloo	- do -	Sd/-
28.	L. Kiangte	- do -	Sd/-
29.	B. Basaiawmoit	- do -	Sd/-
30.	Albis Kurkalang	- do -	Sd/-
31.	Badamon Nongkynrih	- do -	Sd/-
32.	Bashongdor Pathaw	- do -	Sd/-
33.	Betinora Sawkmie	- do -	Sd/-
34.	Raphael Sangma	St. Anthony's	Sd/-
35.	Napoleon Sangma	- do -	Sd/-
36.	H. Mochaloi	- do -	Sd/-
37.	Tiwuni Thomas	- do -	Sd/-
38.	Markus Lyngdoh	- do -	Sd/-
39.	Phidalis Lipon	- do -	Sd/-
40.	James Toppo	- do -	Sd/-
41.	J.L. Pautu	- do -	Sd/-

<u>SL.No.</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>College</u>	<u>Signature</u>
42.	V.Kharsyiemieng	St. Anthony's	Sd/-
43.	Bernard Laloo	- do -	Sd/-
44.	Lavier Tipno	- do -	Sd/-
45.	Michael Sunn	- do -	Sd/-
46.	Samuel Zolingliana	- do -	Sd/-
47.	Dominic Kympat	- do -	Sd/-
48.	John Besra	- do -	Sd/-
49.	Francis Lalummgaiha	- do -	Sd/-
50.	J.S.Basumatary	- do -	Sd/-
51.	Illegible	- do -	Sd/-
52.	Illegible	- do -	Sd/-
53.	Illegible	- do -	Sd/-
54.	Sushlanki Pariat	St. Mary's	Sd/-
55.	Anduna Marwein	- do -	Sd/-
56.	Berlinda Nongrud	- do -	Sd/-
57.	Carathy Disborne	- do -	Sd/-
58.	Angela Ryngksai	- do -	Sd/-
59.	Wandalyne Nonglyer	-do-	Sd/-
60.	Phiralyne Mawroh	-do-	Sd/-
61.	Eueaca Eva Kr.Unmuid	- do -	Sd/-
62.	Ibakor Nengnong	- do -	Sd/-
63.	A.N.Khonglam	- do -	Sd/-
64.	M.Lyngdoh	- do -	Sd/-
65.	R.Khojain	St. Anthony's	Sd/-
66.	Mathaniel Kharbuli	- do -	Sd/-
67.	P.Linsong	- do -	Sd/-
68.	B.Marbaniang	- do -	Sd/-
69.	D.Lytan	- do -	Sd/-
70.	M.M. Ram	- do -	Sd/-
71.	K.K. Pontiah	- do -	Sd/-
72.	S.Nongrum	- do -	Sd/-
73.	Christopher Warjri	- do -	Sd/-
74.	Khrawbor Lyngdoh	- do -	Sd/-
75.	Marlin Kharsohnoh	- do -	Sd/-
76.	Niupher Khongnoh	Seng Khasi	Sd/-
77.	B.Nongrum	- do -	Sd/-
78.	Illegible	- do -	Sd/-
79.	B.Pasi	- do -	Sd/-
80.	L.Massar	- do -	Sd/-
81.	B. Jana	- do -	Sd/-
82.	D. Pyrbot	- do -	Sd/-
83.	Khrawbor Pathaw	- do -	Sd/-
84.	Sanjit Basumatary	Sankardev	Sd/-
85.	Benediet Marak	- do -	Sd/-
86.	Herman C. Jyrwa	- do -	Sd/- Contd...

5:5:3(8)

<u>Sl.No.</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>College</u>	<u>Signature</u>
87.	Sangam Razni	Sankardev	Sd/-
88.	Sabita J.Khongthaw	- do -	Sd/-
89.	K. Zinik	St.Anthony's	Sd/-
90.	M.S.Thomas	- do -	Sd/-
91.	Tarlingson Khongwir	- do -	Sd/-
92.	S.Khongwir	- do -	Sd/-
93.	B. Mukhim	- do -	Sd/-
94.	M.Dympep	- do -	Sd/-
95.	P.R. Marbaniang	- do -	Sd/-

(viii) Violation of Ordinance for M. Phil / Ph.D. programme  
in the Department of Philosophy.

EC:105:99:5:1: (viii): The Council considered the recommendations of the Committee appointed by the Academic Council to look into the allegation of violation of the M.Phil / Ph.D. Ordinances in the Department of Philosophy and RESOLVED to accept the recommendations of the Committee and the Academic Council that the matter be treated as closed. The Council, further considered the request of Prof. N. Malla to forward a complaint from him to the Visitor and RESOLVED that since the complaint relates to the decision and conduct of the Academic Council and also the findings of the Inquiry Committee his complaint may first be placed before the Academic Council for its views. Meanwhile a copy of his complaints may also be sent to the Inquiry Committee for its comments.

**5:5- Examination Matters**

(i) Sessional Evaluation / Internal Assessment.

EC:105:99:5:5: (i): The Council considered the system for Sessional Evaluation / Internal Assessment and RESOLVED that the assessment may be made by counting the two best marks of three tests.

(ii) Enhancement of remuneration rates to the Staff engage for  
Semesters Examination duty.

EC:105:99:5:5: (ii): The Council considered the enhancement of remuneration rates to the staff engaged for Semester Examinations duty and RESOLVED that the rates may be enhanced as follows:

- |  |                   |
|--|-------------------|
| 1. Class -IV - Peon / Lab Attendant          | Rs.10/- per shift |
| 2. Class III LDC / Lab Assistant / GO / etc. | Rs.15/- per shift |
| 3. STA / SO / PA /Stenographer               | Rs.25/- per shift |
| 4. Invigilator for the University Centre     | Rs.50/- per shift |

(iii) Evaluation and results of Political Science

EC:105:99:5:5: (iii): The Council considered the complaint received from the Secretary, Meghalaya College Principals' Council and RESOLVED that the matter may be looked into by the Committee appointed by the Academic Council for the purpose.