

(vii) TIME BARRED TA/DA CLAIMS OF THE UNIVERSITY OFFICIALS

The following officials who had drawn TA/DA advances submitted the bills after a lapse of more than one year. The Finance Department could not entertain the claims of the officials concerned as they failed to prefer the claims within a period of one year. Although several reminders were sent to the concerned officials requesting them to refund the advance amount immediately failing which the same will be recovered from their salary bills, and instead of complying the request, they have represented for condonation stating the reasons for delay.

According to Government of India order No.F.5(16)-E IV(B) dated 18th October, 1967 :-

- (2) Disposal of belated claims :- If the Travelling Allowance claim is not preferred by the administrative authority concerned for payment within one year from the date of its becoming due, it shall not be paid unless the reasons for delay are investigated in details by the authority competent to sanction and if the investigation shows that the claim could not be preferred in time due to administrative delay without adequate and cogend reasons, suitable action may be taken against the officer(s) concerned so that delay do not recur in future, reasons for delay in submission of the claims are summarised against the names of the claimants. Hence, the matter is placed before the Executive Council for orders.

Sl. No.	Name & Designation	Date of completion of journey	Date of submission	Reasons for delay
1	2	3	4	5
1.	Dr. A Patton, C.O.S.	13.5.93	21.3.95	Dr. A Patton in his letter dated 13.12.94 stated that an advance TA of Rs.7,500/- was drawn for his visit to Supreme Court in Delhi alongwith the Vice Chancellor and Shri J M S Khongwir for some cases pending with the Supreme Court. Unless grossly mistaken to the best of his knowledge, he has submitted the accounts for the same. In his letter dt.20.3.95 and dt.27.6.96 mentions were made that due to misplacement of TA/DA claims and mere oversight, he requested his travel agent to get a details of his visit to Delhi in May, '93, who has been kind enough to give him a cash receipt, etc. of the same.
2.	Mrs. V Pakyntein? Lecturer, Deptt. of Anthropology	12.1.94	16.3.95	Mrs. V Pakyntein, in her letter dt.5.8.96 stated that the documents had been misplaced and subsequently submitted the same with an explanation.

1	2	3	4	5
3.	Dr. Veena Saraf, Jr. Lecturer, Lib. & Info. Sc. Deptt.	13.4.94	7.5.96	Dr. Veena Saraf in her letter dt.24.5.96 stated that after completion of the Study Tour of the MSc students, she had to proceed on a Study Leave for a period of one year. However, the bills and the statement of accounts was handed over to the other teacher for submission to Finance Deptt. On her return from Study Leave it transpired that her TA bill which was handed over to the fellow teacher was missing and re-submitted the duplicate bills.
4.	Dr. K M Warjri, Prog. Organiser, NSS Cell	25.6.92	5.10.95	Dr. Warjri in his letter dt.27.9.95 stated that the question of recovery of Rs.10,000/- from his salary does not arise as he had gone to Ahmedabad on an official tour duly approved by the V.C.
5.	Dr. Y Kumar, Lecturer, Deptt. of Botany	12.1.90	14.8.91	Dr. Y Kumar in his letter dt.12.8.91 and dt.15.12.94 attributed his delay due to his father's sickness and death. Further, he also stated that being a single teacher in a particular branch during this period he had a heavy teaching load in the Deptt.
6.	Ms. K Marbaniang, Prof. Asstt., Library Deptt.	4.2.92	1.6.93	Ms. K Marbaniang in her letter dt.11.1.94 stated that she regretted as she is not aware about the TA Rules and due to the pressure of day-to-day's works during the year she completely forgotten to submit the final bill.
7.	Dr. Sudipta Choudhury, Deptt. of Zoology	26.2.95	5.9.96	Dr. S Choudhury in his letter dt.5.9.96 stated that unfortunately during the completion of his training, his father-in-law had to undergo a major operation at Calcutta and as a result of which he had to go to Calcutta immediately. Due to this and some of his TA/DA original vouchers were also misplaced and could get them at the end of August, '96 after frantic search.

1	2	3	4	5
8. Shri Lalip Pathak, Univ. Librarian	22.2.95	6.9.96	Shri L Pathak in his letter dt.5.9.96 stated that during August, '95, he had to undergo call bladder stone operation. He missed his attention that the TA bill should be submitted within one year. He expressed his regrets for late submission of the TA bills and assured that the same will not be repeated in furture.	
9. Shri P M Rynnga, UDC, Estt.II	17.5.90	22.10.91	Mr. P M Rynnga in his letter dt.22.12.91 stated that he is not aware about the TA Rules that the TA bill should be submitted within one year.	

This has the approval of Finance Officer.

No.FA.4-41/TA-CELL/87-1444
Dt.28.11.96.

- | | | |
|--|---|----------|
| 1. Pro-Vice-Chancellor,
Mizoram Campus, Aizawl. | - | Chairman |
| 2. Pro-Vice-Chancellor,
Tura Campus, Tura. | - | Member |
| 3. Prof. S.K. Mishra | - | --do-- |
| 4. Finance Officer | - | --do-- |
| 5. Prof. A.C. Mohapatra | - | --do-- |
| 6. Prof. S.N. Bhat | - | --do-- |

(vi) Condonation of Time-barred LTC Claim
in respect of Prof. R.N. Prasad.

No: EC: 91: 96: 6: 6: (vi): The Council considered the condonation of late submission of LTC claim of Prof. R.N. Prasad and RESOLVED to condone the same.

(vii) Recruitment policy for the Non-Teaching Staff through departmental promotion- Extension of principle of seniority-cum-merit.

No: EC: 91: 96: 6: 6: (vii): The Council considered the recruitment policy for Group B and C staff based on seniority-cum-merit and RESOLVED to approve the same.

(viii) Time-barred TA/DA Claims of the University Officials.

No: EC: 91: 96: 6: 6: (viii): The Council considered the condonation of time-barred TA/DA claims of the following officials/Teachers and RESOLVED to approve the same. However, condonation made in respect of those quoting ignorance rules will not be extertained for the second time

Contd/.../-

Name & Designation	Date of completion of Journey	Date of submission	Reasons for delay
1. Dr. A. Patton, C.O.E.	13.5.93	21.3.95	Dr. A. Patton in his letter dt. 13.12.94 stated that an advance TA of Rs. 7,500/- was drawn for his visit to Supreme Court in Delhi along with the Vice-Chancellor and Shri J.M.S. Khongwir for some cases pending with the Supreme Court. Unless grossly mistaken to the best of his knowledge, he has submitted the accounts for the same. In his letter dt. 20.3.95 and dt. 27.6.96 mentions were made that due to misplacement of TA/DA claims and mere oversight, he requested his travel agent to get a details of his visit to Delhi in May, 1993 who has been kind enough to give him a cash receipt, etc. of the same.
2. Mrs. V. Pakyntein, Lecturer, Deptt. of Anthropology.	12.1.94	16.3.95	Mrs. V. Pakyntein, in her letter dt. 5.8.96 stated that the documents had been misplaced and subsequently submitted the same with an explanation.

Contd./.../-

1	2	3	4	5
3.	Dr. Veena Saraf, Sr. Lecturer, Lib. & Info. Sc. Deptt.	13.4.94	7.5.96	Dr. Veena Saraf in her letter dt.24.5.96 stated that after completion of the Study Tour of the MSc students, she had to proceed on a Study Leave for a period of one year. However, the bills and the statement of accounts was handed over to the other teacher for submission to Finance Deptt. On her return from Study Leave it transpired that her TA bill which was handed over to the fellow teacher was missing and re-submitted the duplicate bills.
4.	Dr. K M Warjri, Prog. Organiser, NSS Cell	25.6.92	5.10.95	Dr. Warjri in his letter dt.27.9.95 stated that the question of recovery of Rs.10,000/- from his salary does not arise as he had gone to Ahmedabad on an official tour duly approved by the V.C.
5.	Dr. Y Kumar, Lecturer, Deptt. of Botany	12.1.90	14.8.91	Dr. Y Kumar in his letter dt.12.8.91 and dt.15.12.94 attributed his delay due to his father's sickness and death. Further, he also stated that being a single teacher in a particular branch during this period he had a heavy teaching load in the Deptt.
6.	Ms. K Marbaniang, Prof. Asstt., Library Deptt.	4.2.92	1.6.93	Ms. K Marbaniang in her letter dt.11.1.94 stated that she regretted as she is not aware about the TA Rules and due to the pressure of day-to-day's works during the year she completely forgotten to submit the final bill.
7.	Dr. Sudipta Choudhury, Deptt. of Zoology	26.2.95	5.9.96	Dr. S Choudhury in his letter dt.5.9.96 stated that unfortunately during the completion of his training, his father-in-law had to undergo a major operation at Calcutta and as a result of which he had to go to Calcutta immediately. Due to this and some of his TA/DA original vouchers were also misplaced and could get them at the end of August, '96 after frantic search.

: 3 :

1 ----- 2 ----- 3 ----- 4 ----- 5 -----

8. Shri Lalip Pathak, Univ. Librarian 22.2.95 6.9.96 Shri L Pathak in his letter dt.5.9.96 stated that during August, '95, he had to undergo call bladder stone operation. He missed his attention that the TA bill should be submitted within one year. He expressed his regrets for late submission of the TA bills and assured that the same will not be repeated in future.

9. Shri P M Ryngga, UDC, Estt.II 17.5.90 22.10.91 Mr. P M Ryngga in his letter dt.22.12.91 stated that he is not aware about the TA Rules that the TA bill should be submitted within one year.

This has the approval of Finance Officer.

No.FA.4-41/TA-CELL/87-1444
Dt.28.11.96.